Neuronal correlates of a visual “sense of number” in primate parietal and prefrontal cortices
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“Sense of number” refers to the classical idea that we perceive the number of items (numerosity) intuitively. However, whether the brain signals numerosity spontaneously, in the absence of learning, remains unknown; therefore, we recorded from neurons in the ventral intraparietal sulcus and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex of numerically naive monkeys. Neurons in both brain areas responded maximally to a given number of items, showing tuning to a preferred numerosity. Numerosity was encoded earlier in area ventral intraparietal area, suggesting that numerical information is conveyed from the parietal to the frontal lobe. Visual numerosity is thus spontaneously represented as a perceptual category in a dedicated parietofrontal network. This network may form the biological foundation of a spontaneous number sense, allowing primates to intuitively estimate the number of visual items.

Results

To ensure that the monkeys paid attention to the stimulus displays (but not to numerosity) during recording, the monkeys were trained to discriminate color in variable dot displays in a delayed match-to-sample task (Fig. 1A). Monkeys watched two displays (first sample, then test) separated by a 1-s delay. They were trained to release a bar if the displays contained the same color of dots. Five colors (red, blue, green, yellow, purple) were used. All five colors were presented in displays containing one, two, three, four, or five dots, or numerosities (Fig. 1B). Importantly, the number of items in the displays was completely irrelevant to solve the task. All five colors and numerosities were displayed as standard stimuli with variable dot sizes and positions, with control stimuli equating the total area and the average density of all dots across numerosities. All parameters (e.g., colors, numerosities, stimulus protocols, match versus nonmatch trials) were balanced and pseudorandomly presented to the monkeys.

Behavioral Performance. Both animals were proficient in color discrimination and performed well above chance (monkey L: 99.19 ± 0.24%; monkey S: 97.93 ± 0.34%, binomial test, P < 0.001) for all color combinations (Fig. 1C). To ensure that the monkeys ignored the number of items that covaried with the color of the dots in the sample displays, we tested putative numerosity discrimination by inserting generalization trials of pure numerosity stimuli (only black dots) within the ongoing color discrimination task in two sessions after the end of the recording sessions. With an average numerosity discrimination of 43.8 ± 12.7% (monkey L) and 58.8 ± 12.4% (monkey S), both monkeys performed at chance level (two-tailed binomial test, P > 0.05) (Fig. 1D). The monkeys were thus exclusively discriminating color; they were ignorant of the numerosity information in the stimuli.

Single-Cell Responses. We recorded single-cell activity from randomly selected neurons in the ventral intraparietal area (VIP) in the fundus of the intraparietal sulcus (IPS) of the PPC and PFC while monkeys performed the color discrimination task (Fig. 1A and B). A total of 238 neurons from VIP and 268 neurons from the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex around the principal sulcus were analyzed (Fig. 2A). To identify neurons selective to the varying stimulus parameters, we evaluated the average discharge rates of individual neurons during the sample presentation using a three-factor ANOVA, with factors (sample color) × (sample numerosity) × (stimulus protocol) (P < 0.01).

Tuning to Visual Numerosity. The behaviorally relevant parameter color significantly modulated neuronal activity in 12% (29/238) of VIP cells and 10% (26/268) of PFC neurons. However, a...
similar proportion of neurons spontaneously also encoded the number of items in the dot displays (numerosity) that was behaviorally irrelevant and not discriminated by the animals (Fig. 1D). In area VIP, 13% (32/238) of the neurons showed a significant main effect numerosity, and 14% (38/268) in PFC (Fig. 2B). Most of these numerosity-selective neurons, i.e., 10% (24/238) of all VIP neurons and 10% (28/268) of all PFC neurons, were not activated by covarying visual parameters but exclusively showed a main effect numerosity (no main effect stimulus protocol or interactions with factor stimulus protocol, \( P < 0.01 \)). Responses of three example numerosity-selective neurons from area VIP and the PFC are depicted in Fig. 2 C–E for area VIP, and Fig. 2 F–H for PFC. The tuning functions of example VIP neurons showed peak activity for visual numerosity one (Fig. 2C), three (Fig. 2D), and four (Fig. 2E), whereas the PFC neurons had a preferred numerosity of two (Fig. 2F), three (Fig. 2G), and five (Fig. 2H).

Population-tuning functions were calculated by averaging the normalized activity for all neurons that preferred a given numerosity. Similar response profiles were observed for all neurons tuned to numerosities one, two, three, four, and five in area VIP (Fig. 3A) and the PFC (Fig. 3D). All functions in VIP (Fig. 3B) and PFC (Fig. 3E) showed a systematic drop off of activity because the number of items in the dot displays varied from the preferred value. To evaluate this across the population of VIP and PFC neurons, we normalized the activity of each numerosity-selective neuron and plotted its activity as a function of distance from its preferred numerosity. A significant decrease of activity from numerical distance one and two was found, even when only considering numerosity-selective VIP neurons tuned to numerosity two, three, and four (paired samples \( t \) test, two-tailed, all tests \( P < 0.05 \)), indicating peak-tuning functions with systematically falling flanks on both sides of the numerosity tuning curves. Numerosity one was by far the most frequent preferred numerosity in both VIP (Fig. 3C) and PFC (Fig. 3F). In summary, we find that both VIP and PFC neurons were tuned to preferred numerosities in the absence of numerosity training.

Comparing the Timing of Numerosity Signals in VIP and PFC. We examined the time course of numerosity selectivity in each brain area using a sliding version of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) applied to the populations of numerosity-selective neurons in the sample epoch (Materials and Methods). This selectivity measure revealed that numerosity selectivity appeared with a shorter latency in VIP than PFC following the onset of the area under the ROC curve (AUROC values) crossed a predefined threshold (3.0 SD above the mean value during the fixation epoch for 20 consecutive 1-ms time bins) in the sample period. Across all neurons for which a latency could be defined with this method (VIP, \( n = 23 \); PFC, \( n = 29 \)), numerosity selectivity emerged significantly earlier in VIP (median = 71 ms) than in PFC (median = 124 ms) (two-tailed Mann–Whitney \( U \) test, \( P < 0.05 \)). The observed latency difference between VIP and
PFC neurons could not be caused by differences in the strength of numerosity selectivity (as measured by AUROC values), which were comparable in both cortical areas (Fig. 4B).

Discussion

Our finding of numerosity-selective neurons in numerically naive monkeys supports the idea of a visual number sense, the faculty to perceive visual collections intuitively (1, 2). Because perceived numerosity is susceptible to adaptation just like color, contrast, or speed, Burr and Ross (9,10) recently suggested visual numerosity as a primary sensory attribute. This finding was recently supported by a computational network model in which sensitivity to numerosity spontaneously developed (11). However, because adaptation is not restricted to primary visual attributes, but also observed for high-level visual categories such as faces (27), the category visual numerosity may alternatively be appreciated as a special perceptual category represented spontaneously in a dedicated parietofrontal network. Other complex visual categories are also represented in the primate visual system up to the frontal lobe in a relatively specialized fashion: faces, places, and body parts appear to have dedicated neural substrates for their representation (28,29,30,31). Numerosity may thus be another visual category that is processed hierarchically, not within the ventral visual stream like faces, places, and body parts, but within the dorsal visual stream. Number neurons seem to develop spontaneously and naturally within visual neural structures of the primate brain, probably based on visual input that (unavoidably) contains (among a variety of other visual features) different numbers of objects and events. Such neurons likely provide the neurobiological substrate of the approximate nonverbal system (3,4), allowing wild animals (3,4), prelinguistic infants (5,6), and innumerate humans (7,8) to readily estimate set size.

In the absence of a numerosity task, 13% of all neurons in VIP and 14% of all neurons in PFC were numerosity selective. For the VIP, this value is close to the proportion of selective neurons (14% of the neurons) found on average in our previous studies with numerosity-discriminating monkeys (calculated over four different studies (15,32–34). For the PFC, the proportion of numerosity-selective neurons in numerically trained monkeys was about twice as large (around 30% of the neurons). Perhaps PFC representations get “amplified” with numerical training and experience in the sense that a greater number of neurons respond to numerosity if numerosity needs to be processed in a behaviorally relevant way. That such neurons are at all present in naive monkeys may seem at odds with the PFC supporting complex executive functions (35). However, the PFC may be able to exert its cognitive functions precisely because it also has access to sensory information required for goal-directed behavior.

Neurons in area VIP represented their preferred numerosity on average 53 ms earlier than PFC neurons, suggesting that numerosity selectivity evolves along the visual path (see also ref. 32). This suggests that visual numerosity is extracted first in the principal sulcus; STS, superior temporal sulcus. (A) Lateral view (lower) of the left hemisphere of a monkey brain indicating the topographical relationships of cortical landmarks. Coronal section (upper) at the level of the dotted line in the lateral view reconstructed from a structural MRI scan (Horsley-Clark coordinates 0 mm anterior/posterior). Green regions on the frontal lobe and in the fundus of the IPS mark the recording areas in the PFC and area VIP, respectively. LS, lateral sulcus; PS, principal sulcus; STS, superior temporal sulcus. (B) Pie chart depicting the absolute proportions of numerosity-selective neurons found in areas VIP and PFC. (C) Responses of an example VIP neuron selective to the numerosity one. (Upper) Dot-raster histograms (each dot represents an action potential); (Lower) averaged spike density functions (activity averaged and smoothed by a 150-ms Gauss-kernel). The first 500 ms represent the fixation period. Colors of dot histogram and spike density functions correspond to the number of items in the sample displays. (Inset) The neuron’s tuning function, the discharge rates as a function of the number of items. (C–E) Example VIP neurons tuned to numerosities one, three, and four (layout as in C). (F–H) Example PFC neurons tuned to numerosities two, three, and five (layout as in C).
termination zone of the dorsal visual pathway of the parietal cortex based on bottom-up process and later conveyed to the functionally connected lateral PFC (36). Even in trained animals, parietal signals of visual numerical categories do not arise as a result of feedback from PFC (22).

The neuronal code for numerosity representations has remained debated since neurons selectively responding to the number of items were discovered (12,13). Neurons in monkeys performing an explicit numerosity task exclusively displayed tuning functions that peak at their respective preferred numerosity (labeled-line code), irrespective of (visual or auditory) numerosity modality (16), spatial or temporal numerosity layout (15), or sensory-motor task demands (12,26). The relevance of tuned neurons’ responses for the monkeys’ behavioral performance was demonstrated by both error trial analyses (13,16,25) and the activation of the respective brain areas (26). Contrasting a labeled-line code, an electrophysiological study in which monkeys implicitly discriminated numerosity reported monotonic response functions (summation code) for numerosities in the lateral intraparietal area (LIP) of the parietal lobe (14). Monkeys perform an oculomotor task with numerosity predicting the amount of reward they would receive following a gaze shift. As the authors acknowledge, the monkeys were not numerically naive in this study either (14) because the authors observed significant and consistent effect of numerical values on saccade latency, and thus concluded that the monkeys attended to numerosity.

Roitman and colleagues (23) reasoned that tuning to specific numerosities might be a product of experience and/or task demands because an explicit numerosity task requires monkeys to categorize numerical values. Such a putative learning effect can now be ruled out. In the current study with monkeys that were never trained to discriminate numerosity, both VIP and PFC neurons were still tuned to preferred numerosities. In agreement with influential computational models of number processing (37,38), the labeled-line code is a genuine and spontaneous code for the inherently categorical property number of items of stimuli. The current data also help to exclude putative nonnumerical factors that were suspected to modulate numerosity-selective neurons during explicit numerosity tasks. It has been argued that the observed overrepresentation of numerosity one in numerosity discriminating monkeys might have been caused by reward expectation (23): because behavioral accuracy was highest when the sample value was 1 (13), monkeys could be more certain of achieving rewards, and this might be reflected in the discharges of neurons preferring numerosity one. Because numerosity one was still overrepresented by the population of neurons in our numerically naive monkeys, this putative nonnumerical factor can be ruled out. Neurons tuned to numerosity one superficially resemble monotonically decreasing units; however, these neurons are too sharply tuned to convey information for numerosities three and higher (Figs. 2C and 3A and D) and thus cannot be regarded as decreasing summation units. Perhaps numerosity 1 is indeed a special set and thus represented by an abundance of neurons; after all, numerosities are collections of single elements, i.e., multiples of numerosity 1. Interestingly, the special status of

![Fig. 3. Normalized response rates of selective neurons as a function of numerical distance.](image)

![Fig. 4. Time course of VIP and PFC numerosity selectivity.](image)
one element is omnipresent in the singular-plural dichotomy (or numerosity one vs. all-other-numerosities distinction) found in natural language. Moreover, the singular-plural distinction is suggested to have a nonlinguistic conceptual basis (39,40). Neurons tuned to numerosity five were also abundant, but most likely comprises neurons with larger numerosity preference than our experimentally restricted range from one to five.

Our single-cell data in the naive nonhuman primate are in good agreement with event-related potentials in human infants (41) and human functional imaging data. Using fMRI adaptation, peak blood oxygenation level dependent activity-tuning profiles were found in bilateral IPS as an indirect measure of neuronal numerosity tuning (18) as well as IPS and PFC (19). Peak-tuned numerosity detectors are also postulated as final stage of numerosity processing by computational models on number processing (37,38). The recently reported summation (41) and human functional imaging data. Using fMRI adaptation tests and again analyzed with a binomial test for each numerosity. Behavioral Data Analysis. For the color discrimination task, the percent correct performance for each color in each session was calculated, averaged across all sessions, and statistically verified using a binomial test. To test numerosity discrimination, percent correct performance was derived during the generalization tests and again analyzed with a binomial test for each numerosity.

Neuronal Data Analysis. We analyzed discharge rates during sample presentation in an 800-ms window. By default, the 800-ms windows of the sample phase were shifted by 50 ms after sample onset for area VIP cells and by 100 ms for PFC cells to account for typical response latency of these cells. To determine the selectivity of ANOVA (crit  = 0.01) using single-trial discharge rates was calculated for each cell in the sample period, with main factors stimulus protocol (standard or control), sample color (red, blue, green, yellow, purple), and sample numerosity (one, two, three, four, five). Each neuron’s discharge rates are tested only once; no multiple comparisons are applied. Only neurons with a minimum of 30 stimulus presentations per numerosity were taken into account. To estimate the probability of false positives in our approach (i.e., the probability that a neuron was classified as numerosity selective by chance), we additionally performed a the same three-factor ANOVA (crit  = 0.01) using shuffled data, using recorded single-trial discharge rates that were randomly assigned to the neuronal labels (238 VIP cells × 1,000, and 268 PFC cells × 1,000, resulting in 506,000 tests). We found that 1% (5,306/506,000) of the tests with shuffled data resulted in a statistically significant evaluation (at P < 0.01), confirming that the reported proportion of numerosity-selective neurons cannot be explained by chance occurrences.

To derive averaged numerosity-tuning functions, the tuning functions of individual neurons were normalized by setting the maximum activity to the most preferred numerosity as 100% and the activity to the least preferred quantity as 0%. Pooling the resulting normalized tuning curves resulted in averaged numerosity-filter functions.

The latency of numerosity selectivity (i.e., not the visual response latency) was calculated using a sliding ROC analysis (42). The true-positive (spike rate for the preferred numerosity) and false-positive rates (spike rate for the least preferred numerosity) were calculated for each neuron to generate the ROC.
curve. The AUROC was calculated with a sliding kernel of 50 ms and incremented by 1 ms at each time point. To arrive at baseline and threshold AUC values, the last 200 ms of the fixation period (before sample onset) were used. The threshold for each neuron was defined as the mean AUC during the presample period plus 3 SDs. The numerosity selectivity latency per single neuron was the time point after sample onset where this threshold criterion was exceeded for at least 20 consecutive 1-ms bins.
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