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Visual Receptive Field Heterogeneity and Functional Connectivity of Adjacent Neurons in Primate Frontoparietal Association Cortices
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The basic organization principles of the primary visual cortex (V1) are commonly assumed to also hold in the association cortex such that neurons within a cortical column share functional connectivity patterns and represent the same region of the visual field. We mapped the visual receptive fields (RFs) of neurons recorded at the same electrode in the ventral intraparietal area (VIP) and the lateral prefrontal cortex (PFC) of rhesus monkeys. We report that the spatial characteristics of visual RFs between adjacent neurons differed considerably, with increasing heterogeneity from VIP to PFC. In addition to RF incongruences, we found differential functional connectivity between putative inhibitory interneurons and pyramidal cells in PFC and VIP. These findings suggest that local RF topography vanishes with hierarchical distance from visual cortical input and argue for increasingly modified functional microcircuits in noncanonical association cortices that contrast V1.
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Significance Statement

Our visual field is thought to be represented faithfully by the early visual brain areas; all the information from a certain region of the visual field is conveyed to neurons situated close together within a functionally defined cortical column. We examined this principle in the association areas, PFC, and ventral intraparietal area of rhesus monkeys and found that adjacent neurons represent markedly different areas of the visual field. This is the first demonstration of such noncanonical organization of these brain areas.

Introduction

The receptive field (RF) of a neuron, the region of visual space from which its response can be modulated, is one of the basic concepts in vision research (Hubel and Wiesel, 1962). In the primary visual cortex (V1), a visuotopic map for points in the visual field arises from the ordered spatial arrangement of thalamic afferent axons in the cortex (Kremkow et al., 2016). Therefore, adjacent neurons within functional units lying perpendicular to the cortical surface (modules, microcolumns) show nearly identical RF locations (DeAngelis et al., 1999). This organization principle is commonly assumed to be realized even in the high-level association cortices of the frontal, parietal, and temporal lobes so that all neurons within a given microcolumn share the representation of a region of visual field (Suzuki and Azuma, 1983; Wilson et al., 1994; Rosa, 1997).

We tested this prediction and investigated visual RF characteristics of adjacent neurons recorded at the same electrode tip in two association brain areas, the ventral intraparietal area (VIP) in the posterior parietal cortex, and the lateral PFC. The posterior parietal cortex is the termination zone of the dorsal, occipitoparietal visual stream that conveys motion and spatial information from V1 via MT/MST to VIP (Mishkin et al., 1983; Kravitz et al., 2011), which, in turn, is reciprocally connected with the PFC at the apex of the cortical hierarchy (Lewis and Van Essen, 2000). In agreement with such strong visual inputs, neuronal responses to visual parameters of stimuli are readily found in both the VIP (Colby et al., 1993; Duhamel et al., 1998; Chen et al., 2014) and the PFC (Goldman-Rakic, 1995; O’Scalaidhe et al., 1997; Zakas and Pasternak, 2006), in addition to multimodal and executive function-related signals (Fuster, 2000; Miller and Cohen, 2001; Avillac et al., 2005, 2007; Schlack et al., 2005; Sugihara et al., 2006; Nieder, 2012; Guipponi et al., 2013). Moreover, the VIP and PFC are key nodes of the parietofrontal network processing numerical information (Nieder, 2016; Ramirez-Cardenas et al., 2016). Physiological parameters, such as selectivity latencies to quantity stimuli and categorical robustness, suggest that number information is processed hierarchically between both areas (Viswanathan and Nieder, 2013; Jacob and Nieder, 2014). Whereas VIP seems to be the first cortical hub extracting quantitative information,
the PFC is a putative recipient of information about numerosity. Insights into the visual RF characteristics and wiring patterns of adjacent neurons in the VIP and PFC could elucidate their different roles in numerical processing. Indeed, we find considerable incongruencies in the spatial layout and position of RFs of adjacent neurons, suggesting RF organization that differs not only from that of early visual cortex, but also between these areas of the frontal and parietal association cortex.

Differences in the spatial tuning properties of adjacent neurons suggest distinct neuronal connectivity patterns in association cortices compared with V1. The microcircuitry of V1, formed by dedicated excitatory and inhibitory neurons, is considered canonical and is thought to generalize to other neocortical regions (Douglas and Martin, 2004). For instance, inhibitory interneurons in local microcircuits play a major role in shaping and often, sharpening neuronal response properties of excitatory pyramidal projection neurons (Markram et al., 2004). However, whether brain areas that are higher up the visual hierarchy and display noncanonical circuit properties enabling parallel and reentrant processing required for cognition (Goldman-Rakic, 1988), exhibit the same basic principles remains unknown. We therefore characterized the functional connectivity between adjacent neurons and compared it with the observed RF heterogeneity in VIP and PFC. To identify putative inhibitory interneurons and pyramidal cells in extracellular recordings, we exploited established action potential waveform differences found in PFC between pyramidal cells that tend to exhibit broad action potential waveforms and interneurons that display narrow action potential waveforms (Wilson et al., 1994; Rao et al., 1999; Johnston et al., 2009; Merchant et al., 2012). By comparing the functional connectivity patterns between these two classes of neurons that constitute members of local microcircuits, we identified differential functional interactions that correlated with RF incongruences in VIP and PFC.

Materials and Methods

Experimental setup. Recordings were made in two male rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta) weighing between 5.5 and 6.3 kg. The monkeys sat in primate chairs positioned 57 cm from a 15 inch flat-screen monitor within chambers. The monitor had a resolution of 1024 × 768 pixels and a refresh rate of 75 Hz. We used the National Institute of Mental Health Cortex program to present the stimuli, monitor the behavior, and collect behavioral data. An infrared tracking system (ISCAN) was used to monitor the monkeys’ eye movements. All data analysis was performed using the MATLAB computational environment (The MathWorks) using custom-written scripts. All experimental procedures were in accordance with the guidelines for animal experimentation approved by the national authority, the Regierungspräsidium Tübingen, Germany.

Behavioral task. Monkeys performed a passive fixation task during the RF measurements. While monkeys fixated a central white square (0.10° of visual angle or dva) and maintained their gaze within 1.75 dva of the fixation point, a light gray moving bar (3° in the two different orientations, we linearly interpolated this 10 × 8 map by threefold interpolation in both spatial dimensions (Rainer et al., 1998) to visualize the responses at a resolution of 0.4 dva. We smoothed these with a 2D Gaussian kernel of 2 dva. These steps were taken to create smooth high-resolution RF maps that could be compared with those reported in other studies using large or sparse stimuli, with minimal assumption about the size or shape of the observed RF.

For neurons selective to the factor position in the ANOVA, we conducted a further cross-validation to confirm robust spatial selectivity. To that aim, we created two separate RF maps for each neuron: one from the first half of RF trials and another from the second half of RF trials. We calculated a 2D cross-correlation between the two maps created for each neuron and compared this against a distribution of 2D cross-correlations calculated from 1000 shuffles of each half map as follows:

\[
\tau = \frac{\sum_i \sum_j (\text{Half}_1 - \text{Half}_1^-)(\text{Half}_2 - \text{Half}_2^-)}{\sqrt{\sum_i \sum_j (\text{Half}_1 - \text{Half}_1^-)^2 \sum_i \sum_j (\text{Half}_2 - \text{Half}_2^-)^2}}
\]

where Half1 is the map created from the first half of trials and Half2 is the map created from the second half of trials. The means of the maps are subtracted before summing them over the horizontal (h) and vertical (v) dimensions. Only if the true correlation across halves of trials lay above
the 95th percentile of the distribution of surrogate correlations (one-tailed, \( p < 0.05 \)), we accepted the neuron and its RF map into further analysis.

**Analysis of neuronal pairs.** We identified spatially selective neurons that were recorded from the same electrode with some temporal overlap and, thus, at the same location (“adjacent neurons”). To quantify the spatial similarity of their RFs, we calculated a 2D cross-correlation between their raw RF maps (DeAngelis et al., 1999). We did this to have a similarity index comparable across neuron pairs without assuming the shape or size of the RFs and have a measure that is sensitive to shifts of RFs from neuron to neuron in both dimensions as follows:

\[
r = \frac{\sum \sum (\text{Neuron}_1 - \text{Neuron}_2) (\text{Neuron}_2 - \text{Neuron}_1)}{\left( \sum \sum (\text{Neuron}_1 - \text{Neuron}_1)^2 \right) \times \left( \sum \sum (\text{Neuron}_2 - \text{Neuron}_2)^2 \right)}
\]

where \( \text{Neuron}_1 \) is the RF map of one of the neurons in the pair and \( \text{Neuron}_2 \) is the RF map of the second neuron in the pair. The means of the maps are subtracted before summing them over the horizontal (\( h \)) and vertical (\( v \)) dimensions. We additionally examined the contributions of the horizontal and vertical dimensions separately to the similarity between neuronal pairs.

We compared the derived true correlation coefficient value of the pair against the distribution of 1000 surrogates obtained from shuffling their maps. If the true coefficient lay above the 97.5th percentile of the shuffled distribution, we judged the neuronal pair to be congruent (two-tailed, \( p < 0.05 \)). If it lay below the 2.5th percentile of the shuffled distribution and \( <0 \) (negative), we judged these maps to be inverted. If the true correlation lay within the shuffled distribution (2.5th and 97.5th percentiles), we judged these maps to be incongruent (see Fig. 3).

**Classification of cell types using waveforms.** We classified all the recorded single units into narrow-spiking (NS) and broad-spiking (BS) neurons based on their waveforms (Dieter and Nieder, 2008; Viswanathan and Nieder, 2015; Jacob et al., 2016). We saved the template waveforms for each single unit, sampled at a frequency of 40 kHz (one entry every 25 \( \mu s \)), and only included the waveforms with a trough followed by a crest after reaching the threshold voltage (1951 of 2130 neurons). The troughs of the waveforms were expected to occur within 200–400 \( \mu s \) of crossing the threshold voltage and the crests only after 300 \( \mu s \) of reaching threshold. To maximize the strength of our clustering procedure, we used all the neurons recorded across both areas. We normalized each waveform to the difference between the maximum amplitude and the minimum amplitude and aligned them to their troughs. We then entered the waveforms through a linear classifier (\( k \) means; \( k = 2 \), squared Euclidian distance) to cluster the cells into two categories: NS and BS such that, on average, the units with smaller widths constituted the NS cluster (537 neurons) and those with the larger widths constituted the BS cluster (1414 neurons) (see Fig. 4A,B).

**Cross-correlation between spike trains of neuron pairs.** We computed a cross-correlogram by accumulating spike occurrence times in one neuron relative to the spikes of the paired neuron to identify functionally coupled neurons (Aertsen et al., 1989; de Oliveira et al., 1997; Dieter and Nieder, 2008) among each pair of neurons that were recorded simultaneously with significant temporal overlap. To increase the number of action potentials, we included trials of the delayed match-to-sample task for this calculation. In addition, we repeated this analysis for a subset of neuronal pairs with sufficiently high firing rate using only trials from RF mapping to confirm that connectivity was independent from task demands. For each pair, one unit was assigned the trigger (in the case of NS-BS pairs, always the NS neuron) and for each spike recorded from this unit, the time delays to each of the spikes of the other unit were plotted in a histogram of ±50 ms delay and a bin width of 1 ms. Repeating this procedure for all spikes and all trials yielded the raw cross-correlogram. We normalized the raw cross-correlogram by calculating a z score (i.e., subtracting the mean and dividing by the SD), rendering it independent from the firing rates of the two units (de Oliveira et al., 1997). To avoid false-positives from short fluctuations, we smoothed the z score with a 3-point boxcar (3 ms). z scores within ±2.5 ms of the 0th bin that crossed ±2.5 were considered significant to reject the null hypothesis that the correlogram at that point arose from two independent random Poisson processes. We also calculated a shifted predictor by correlating the trigger spike with the other neuron’s response in the subsequent trial and the last trial with the first. We calculated the z score of the shifted predictor as well to compare against the true correlogram and ensure significant deflections in the true correlogram were not influenced by other repetitive features, such as the stimulus onset, but truly reflected functional connectivity. Only those pairs with at least 1000 entries (i.e., action potentials or trials) for the correlogram were evaluated. When we included trials from the delayed match to sample task, these were 128 of 276 pairs total. Using only RF mapping trials, we could evaluate the correlograms of 61 pairs.

**Results**

**Visual RFs in PFC and VIP**

We mapped the RFs of a total of 859 neurons in PFC (361 from Monkey L and 498 from Monkey S) and 693 neurons in VIP (273 from Monkey L and 420 from Monkey S) with bars moving in different directions that were presented in systematically arranged locations on a screen (Fig. 1A,B). Many neurons showed spatially selective responses to the stimuli at confined locations of the visual field. The activity of an example neuron from PFC is shown in Figure 2A–C, and an example neuron from VIP is shown in Figure 2D–F. The detailed discharges to the different bar locations in the grid are depicted as dot-raster histograms (Fig. 2A,D) and averaged as spike-density histograms (Fig. 2B,E). To statistically verify neuronal selectivity, the firing rates elicited by the visual stimuli were tested using a three-way ANOVA (with position, direction, and orientation of the stimulus as factors; \( p < 0.05 \)). Only spatially selective neurons with a main effect for position were considered for further analyses. In PFC, 64% (545 of 859) of the neurons showed a stimulus position effect, whereas...
69% (480 of 693) of the VIP neurons were found to be spatially tuned (see Materials and Methods).

From these spatially arranged discharges, we created averaged and smoothed RF maps (activity “heat maps” Fig. 2C,F) for each spatially tuned neuron. To further verify robust and reliable spatial tuning of single cells, we cross-validated the RFs of all ANOVA-selective neurons. For each neuron, two separate RF maps for the discharges to the first and second half of the trials were created. A 2D correlation analysis was applied to the two maps from a given neuron, and the resulting true correlation coefficient was compared with a distribution of coefficients obtained from shuffled surrogates. If the true correlation coefficient was significantly higher than the shuffled coefficients (one-tailed, $p < 0.05$), the neuron was classified as spatially tuned and further analyzed. In the PFC, 50% (425 of 859) of all recorded neurons were spatially selective according to both ANOVA and cross-validation analyses. An even higher proportion of 57% spatially selective neurons (396 of 693) was found in the VIP. This difference in the proportion of spatially tuned neurons between PFC and VIP was significant ($\chi^2 = 9.05, p < 0.01$). Some of the neurons displayed complex RFs, but many showed local, uniform RFs centered on the fovea or more contralaterally located, matching the early reports of visual or memory fields in PFC (Mikami et al., 1982; Rainer et al., 1998) and the reports of eye-centered RFs in VIP (Chen et al., 2014).

**Heterogeneous spatial RFs despite anatomical proximity**

Anatomically nearby neurons in early visual cortex show spatially congruent RFs (DeAngelis et al., 1999), pointing toward an orderly local topographic arrangement of visual space. However, when we inspected RFs from adjacent neurons in PFC and VIP, unexpected RF incongruencies surfaced. We therefore analyzed spatial tuning of adjacent neurons in PFC and VIP. To ensure that neurons were anatomically adjacent, we analyzed neuron pairs that were recorded simultaneously or with some temporal overlap at a given electrode tip and separated based on differential waveform characteristics (off-line spike sorting). Because such neuron pairs were recorded at the same electrode tip, they were at the closest possible anatomical location. We found 127 such adjacent-neuron pairs in PFC (41 from Monkey L and 86 from Monkey S) and 149 pairs in VIP (24 from Monkey L and 125 from Monkey S) consisting of 131 unique neurons in PFC and 148 neurons in VIP. As can be seen in Figure 3, RF congruency was variable between neuron pairs, ranging from almost identical RFs with excitatory hotspots at corresponding visual field locations (Fig. 3A,B), to clearly dissimilar locations of excitation (Fig. 3C,D) and, finally, RFs in which excitatory and inhibitory sub-fields seemed opposite in one neuron of a pair relative to the other (Fig. 3E,F).

To quantify the similarity or dissimilarity between the RFs of neuron pairs in an unbiased way that accounted for the different...
kinds of RFs, we calculated a 2D correlation analysis that considered the entire raw RF map (DeAngelis et al., 1999). The true correlation coefficient for each neuron pair was then compared with a distribution of correlation coefficients derived from 1000 shuffled RF surrogates for the same neuron pair. True correlation coefficients that were significantly larger than the shuffled distribution (p < 0.05; positive correlation coefficient) indicated that the RFs of both neurons in the pair were congruent (Fig. 3A, B, right panels). True correlations that were indistinguishable from the randomly shuffled distribution indicated incongruent RFs of adjacent neurons (Fig. 3C,D, right panels). Finally, a proportion of RF pairs were significantly negatively correlated with each other (p < 0.05; negative correlation coefficient), and these had true correlations smaller than the shuffled distribution (Fig. 3E,F, right panels). We characterized this last class as inverted RF pairs. A comparison of the frequencies of 2D correlation coefficients across brain areas revealed that 53% of the RFs of adjacent neurons were congruent in VIP, in contrast to only 39% congruent RFs in PFC (Fig. 4A,B). Comparison of congruent and other RFs showed a significantly higher proportion of congruent RFs in VIP (PFC: 50 of 127, VIP: 79 of 149; χ² = 5.13, p < 0.05). In particular, the proportion of congruent and incongruent RFs differed significantly between the two areas (PFC: 50 of 116 congruent against 66 of 116 incongruent, VIP: 79 of 137 congruent and 58 of 137 incongruent; χ² = 5.33, p < 0.05). All categories are taken together; VIP neuron pairs displayed higher correlation coefficients than PFC (median r<sub>Z</sub> for PFC neuron pairs = 0.14; median r<sub>Z</sub> for VIP neuron pairs = 0.27; Mann–Whitney U test, z = −2.38, p < 0.05). VIP neuron pairs, on average, were more similar than PFC neuron pairs.

We also calculated the map correlation separately for the horizontal and vertical dimensions. Along the vertical dimension, correlations between neuronal pairs in the VIP did not differ significantly from PFC pairs (median r<sub>vertical</sub> for PFC = 0.12, median r<sub>vertical</sub> VIP = 0.20; Mann–Whitney U test, z = −1.65, p > 0.05). Along the horizontal dimension, however, correlations for VIP
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[51x84]action potentials (inhibitory interneurons (NS) and pyramidal projection neurons). Therefore, we first classified neurons into putative function groups.

We hypothesized that the observed heterogeneity of adjacent cell classes separated into two distributions (Fig. 5A). As expected based on the abundance of interneurons and projection neurons in the neocortex (Markram et al., 2004; Shepherd, 2004), we found 81% (876 of 1086) of BS and 19% (210 of 1086) of NS neurons in PFC (Fig. 5C). In VIP, 62% (538 of 865) of BS and 38% (327 of 865) of NS neurons were detected (Fig. 5D). All neuron pairs were thus assigned to BS-BS, NS-BS, or NS-NS pairs. With the exception of NS-NS pairs that were almost absent in PFC, BS-BS (PFC = 70; VIP = 49) and NS-BS (PFC = 52; VIP = 67) pairs were abundant in both association cortices and distributed to the congruent, incongruent, and inverted RF-pair classes according to the proportions of those RF pairs (Fig. 5E,F). We compared the proportions of congruent versus incongruent pairs in the BS-BS pairs and NS-BS pairs and found a larger proportion of NS-BS pairs in VIP to be congruent ($\chi^2 = 3.87, p < 0.05$).

Functional connectivity of adjacent cell classes

If adjacent NS and BS cells constitute elements of microcircuits operating with inhibition and excitation, their connectivity pattern might provide insights concerning the observed differences and heterogeneity in spatial tuning in association cortices. However, even juxtaposed neurons simultaneously recorded at the same electrode tip are not necessarily functionally connected and part of a local circuit. If two neurons are functionally connected, the firing of one cell systematically coincides with, or is influenced by, the activity of the other cell on a millisecond time scale. We therefore tested for functional connectivity of cell pairs based on their temporally correlated discharge patterns by applying a cross-correlation analysis to spike trains. It measures the frequency at which one cell called “target” fires relative to the firing time of a spike in another cell known as “reference” (Salinas and Sejnowski, 2001). Therefore, if two cells are functionally coupled and one cell provides inhibitory input to the other, synchronous spiking should be suppressed resulting in a negative correlation in the cross correlogram at 0 time lag. If, however, one cell provides excitatory input to the other, spiking should coincide, resulting in a positive correlation in the cross correlogram. Alternatively, cells may receive common excitatory or inhibitory input that cause broad positive or negative correlation peaks, respectively.

Although correlations between single neurons in the association cortex are typically rare (Constantinidis et al., 2001; Constantinidis and Goldman-Rakic, 2002), we found a total of 14 pairs of BS-BS and NS-BS pairs in PFC, and 15 such pairs in VIP to be significantly coupled. Functional coupling was constant across RF trials and delayed-match-to-sample trials. In the cell pairs that could be compared, 4 PFC and 4 VIP pairs remained significantly coupled and in the same directions (excitatory or inhibitory) for both trial types. Representative examples of these functionally connected neuron pairs are depicted in Figure 6 (for BS-BS pairs) and Figure 7 (for NS-BS pairs). Overall, we observed more inhibitory connections in PFC and more excitatory connections in VIP (only 3 of 14 excitatory connections in PFC compared with 13 of 15 excitatory connections in VIP, $\chi^2 = 12.46, p < 0.001$). In addition, the average widths of the cross correlograms were significantly broader in VIP compared with PFC (Mann–Whitney U test, $p < 0.05$).

Among BS-BS neuron pairs, we observed that 4 of 6 PFC pairs and 5 of 7 VIP were congruent (Fig. 6A,B). These pairs were connected in opposite ways in the two brain areas. Whereas the PFC pairs’ correlogram showed a negative peak indicating forward inhibition (Fig. 6C), the VIP pairs showed a sharp positive peak suggesting excitatory connection (Fig. 6D). The remaining pairs were incongruent or inverted (Fig. 6E–H). Whereas all 6 BS-BS pairs in PFC shared sharp inhibitory connections (Fig. 6I), only 1 of 7 VIP BS-BS pairs shared such a connection (Fig. 6J).

Figure 5. Classification of neuron types according to spike waveform characteristics. A, Normalized amplitude
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Spike waveforms of 50 randomly chosen NS neurons (yellow) and BS neurons (green), aligned to their troughs. The mean waveforms of all NS and BS neurons are plotted in black. B, Distribution of spike widths for all NS and BS neurons. Black vertical lines indicate the widths of the average waveforms in each class. C, Histogram of 1086 PFC spike widths colored according to the classification into NS and BS classes with 50 randomly selected PFC waveforms of each class in the inset. The means of all PFC NS and BS neurons are plotted in black. Scale is the same as in A. D, Histogram of 865 VIP spike widths colored by class with 50 randomly chosen VIP waveforms of each class in the inset as in C. E, Distribution of RF similarity. Three classes of neuron pairs in PFC: Inv, Inverted; Inc, incongruent; Con, congruent. Yellow represents NS-NS pairs. Blue represents BS-BS pairs. Green represents BS-NS. F, Same distribution as E for neuron pairs recorded in VIP.

Comparing RF similarity in pairs of different cell classes

pairs were again higher than PFC pairs (median $r_{\text{horizontal}}$ PFC = 0.04, median $r_{\text{horizontal}}$ VIP = 0.19; Mann–Whitney $U$ test, $z = -2.85, p < 0.01$).
Together, a preponderance of inhibitory connections between adjacent putative pyramidal cells in PFC contrasts with mostly excitatory connections between such cell types in VIP. Among NS-NS pairs, 12 of which we found to be functionally connected in VIP show exclusively excitatory connections, which correlated with similar RFs across those pairs (7 of 12 pairs were congruent). We did not find any functionally connected NS-NS pairs in PFC to compare against VIP.

For NS-BS neuron pairs, we observed 8 pairs with significant functional interaction in each area (Fig. 7). Six of these pairs were incongruent or inverted in PFC (Fig. 7A,E,J), whereas 6 of them were congruent in VIP (Fig. 7B,F,J). This difference in RF similarity of adjacent NS-BS pairs between PFC and VIP was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 4, p < 0.05$). In NS-BS pairs of the PFC, we observed mostly sharp inhibitory (5 of 8 pairs) interactions $\sim$0 time lag (Fig. 7C,G,K), indicating forward inhibition of NS on BS cells. In contrast, mostly excitatory (7 of 8 pairs) interactions were observed for NS-BS pairs in VIP (Fig. 7D,H,L). The temporally less precise excitatory interactions in VIP with lags between $\sim$10 and 10 ms suggest common excitatory input. Inhibitory interactions between NS-BS neurons resulted in predominantly incongruent or inverted RFs (4 of 5 PFC pairs and 1 VIP pair), whereas excitatory interactions resulted in predominantly congruent RFs (1 of 3 PFC pairs and 6 of 7 VIP pairs) and never in inverted RFs.
Figure 7. Distinct connectivity of NS-BS pairs in PFC and VIP. A, Example of an NS-BS pair with inverted RFs recorded from the same electrode in PFC. Same layout as in Figure 5 A, B. B, An example NS-BS pair with congruent RFs from the VIP. C, Raw cross-correlogram of the neuron pair from A (left) and z scores obtained from the correlogram in color. Gray represents the shifted correlogram. Same layout as in Figure 5 C, D. Here, the NS neuron in A (left, above) depicted with a yellow circle inhibits the BS neuron (right, above) shown as a green triangle. D, Raw cross-correlogram (left) and z scores of the neuron pair from B. Here, the NS neuron and BS neuron show a broad peak, indicating the presence of common excitatory input. E, Example NS-BS pair with inverted RFs from PFC. F, Example pair from VIP with congruent RFs. G, Raw cross-correlogram (left) and z scores of the neuron pair from E. H, Raw cross-correlogram (left) and z scores of the neuron pair from F. I, Example PFC pair with incongruent RFs. J, Example VIP pair with congruent RFs. K, L, Raw cross-correlogram and z scores of the neuron pairs from I and J. Example pairs A, B, E, and J are from Monkey L; and example pairs F and I are from Monkey S. Putative connection diagrams are shown on each correlogram. M, N, Summary plot of excitatory (Exc) and inhibitory (Inh) connections and RF similarity in PFC and VIP, respectively. Inv, Inverted; Inc, incongruent; Con, congruent.
Discussion

We were able to demonstrate functional connectivity between adjacent neurons of extracellularly identified putative inhibitory interneurons (NS) and pyramidal cells (BS). Temporal correlations indicative of functional connectivity between adjacent single neurons in the association cortex are typically rare; only ~5%-10% of simultaneously recorded cell pairs turn out to be functionally connected (Constantinidis et al., 2001; Constantinidis and Goldman-Rakic, 2002; Diester and Nieder, 2008). This is consistent with our findings of ~10% of connected neuron pairs. Despite our large sample of simultaneously recorded cell pairs in both the PFC and the VIP, the number of connected pairs, particularly when segregated into different cell classes, remained relatively small. Despite this limitation, the differences in functional connectivity between putative inhibitory interneurons and pyramidal cells in PFC and VIP are statistically robust.

In the PFC, we found more inhibitory and also more temporally precise functional connections between adjacent neurons than in VIP. Both BS-BS and NS-BS pairs in PFC were characterized by primarily negative and sharp connections. This inhibitory connection pattern in PFC correlated with a higher frequency of incongruent or inverted RFs. Both findings are suggestive of primarily inhibitory effects of adjacent neurons in PFC. Such temporally precise inhibitory effects suggest lateral feedforward inhibition between neurons representing different visual field locations that may modulate neuronal responsiveness, especially to stimulation of the borders of RFs, effectively resulting in smaller excitatory areas. The real world does not, however, consist of single stimuli presented at a time (as in our experiment); virtually all retinal locations are affected simultaneously. This multitude of competing activations at different locations may cause strong inhibitory interactions between neurons located at different points of the visuotopic map and perhaps a substantial shrinkage of excitatory RFs toward their functional center. Lateral inhibition may contribute to the production of such sharp peaks of neural activity as a function of location in the visual field.

The functional connectivity of cell types with respect to the properties of spatial RFs has not been investigated in PFC (or VIP) before. However, it has been shown that neighboring NS and BS cells in the primate PFC can exhibit opposite tuning characteristics. When comparing the responses of NS and BS neurons that were recorded within 400 mm of each other, Wilson et al. (1994) observed opposite spatial location selectivity of NS and BS cells (but see also Rao et al., 1999 for similar spatial preferences of adjacent NS and BS neurons). Moreover, inhibitory connections were predominant in PFC cell pairs with dissimilar spatial tuning profiles (Constantinidis and Goldman-Rakic, 2002). Beyond sculpting the processing of spatial information, inhibitory input by putative interneurons has also been implicated in shaping the tuning to numerosities of putative PPC pyramidal cells (Diester and Nieder, 2008; Nieder, 2016) and tuning to objects of nearby neurons in the IT cortex (Wang et al., 2000; 2002; Tamura et al., 2004). Because different cortical cell types also show distinct sensitivity to dopaminergic influence (Jacob et al., 2013, 2016; Ott et al., 2014), the shaping of RFs may also be modulated by neuro-modulators, in addition to GABAergic inhibition.

The functional connectivity patterns in relation to cell types differed markedly in parietal area, VIP. We found more excitatory and temporally less precise connections in VIP relative to PFC. Both BS-BS and NS-BS neuron pairs showed excitatory connections. However, whereas BS-BS pairs exhibited sharp positive correlation peaks indicative of excitatory feedforward excitation, NS-BS pairs mostly showed broad excitatory peaks suggesting common input. This is reminiscent of V1, where fast-spiking interneurons and neighboring pyramidal neurons have been found to share excitatory input (Yoshimura and Callaway, 2005; Yoshimura et al., 2005). Consistent with the idea of common input is the finding of mostly congruent RFs of NS-BS pairs. This points to a V1-like response pooling within a certain local population (Swadlow and Gusev, 2002). These remarkable differences in the functional connectivity of adjacent cortical cell types between PFC and VIP argue for substantial differences in the wiring of posterior parietal cortex that shares patterns with early visual areas, and prefrontal local circuits that seem to have developed differently.

The observed incongruencies in spatial RF characteristics and functional connectivity argue for differences in microcolumn organization between neocortical areas that subserve different functions. The reciprocally connected association areas of the parietal and frontal cortices are particularly well suited to maintain information across time and to exert cognitive control (Miller and Cohen, 2001). In contrast to early visual cortices, the areas of the posterior parietal and prefrontal cortices are connected not only to each other (Lewis and Van Essen, 2000), but also up to over a dozen other widely distributed cortical areas, all interconnected by common thalamic input from the medial pulvinar nucleus (Selemon and Goldman-Rakic, 1988). In addition, the areas in this network display noncanonical circuit properties (Goldman-Rakic, 1988); in contrast to canonical circuits of the sensory and motor cortices, many connections within this network lack a clear sensory-motor hierarchical polarity with consistent feedforward and feedback laminar termination patterns. Instead, the noncanonical network seems to be designed for parallel and reentrant processing. Such recurrent loops of the noncanonical association cortex give rise to persistent (or sustained) neuronal activity that enables neurons to actively buffer and process information during working memory periods (Merten and Nieder, 2012). Because high-level cognitive functioning would be impossible without persistent activity, microcircuits and their excitatory and inhibitory neuronal connections might be geared toward reentrant loops and, therefore, inevitably differ from sensory neocortex.
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