

# On the Restricted and Combined Use of Rüdénberg's Approximations in Molecular Orbital Theories of Hartree-Fock Type

Wolfhard Koch

*Institut für Physikalische und Theoretische Chemie der Universität Tübingen,  
Auf der Morgenstelle 8, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany.*

*Dedicated to Dr. Dietrich Hoffmann on the occasion of his 60<sup>th</sup> birthday.*

**Abstract :** Rüdénberg's well-known letter of 1951 entitled "On the Three- and Four-Center Integrals in Molecular Quantum Mechanics" explicitly presents two approximation formulas for four-center repulsion integrals, only. When applied to some types of three-center repulsion integrals, however, these two recipes still imply considerable oversimplifications. Using both one-electron and two-electron routes of Rüdénberg's truncated expansion, on the other hand, such shortcomings can be avoided strictly. Starting from four simple "Unrestricted and Combined" (U&C) approximation schemes introduced elsewhere, an improved "Restricted and Combined" (R&C) approximation picture for Fock-matrix elements now will be outlined, which does not tolerate any unnecessary oversimplifications. Although the simplicity of the U&C scheme is lost in this case, R&C-approximated Fock-matrix elements still can be constructed from one- and two-center integrals alone in an effective way. Moreover, due to their dependence on a single geometric parameter, all types of two-center integrals can be calculated in advance for about one hundred fixed interatomic distances at the desired level of sophistication and stored once and for all. A cubic spline algorithm may be taken to interpolate the actual integral value from each precomputed list.

## 1. Introduction

The main topic of this paper is Rüdberg’s letter of 1951 with its two truncated expansions (symbolized by I and II) of diatomic orbital products <sup>1</sup>. In addition to Rüdberg’s proper concepts (R) in the sense commonly used, we distinguish three other kinds of Rüdberg-type approximations which are closely related to the schemes of Mulliken (M) <sup>2</sup>, “Zero Differential Overlap” (ZDO) <sup>3</sup>, and “Neglect of Diatomic Differential Overlap” (NDDO) <sup>4</sup>. In particular, we consider their application to Roothaan’s “Restricted Hartree-Fock” theory (RHF) <sup>5</sup> of electronic closed-shell ground states in its generalized “Unrestricted Hartree-Fock” form (UHF) of Pople & Nesbet <sup>6</sup>.

In a preceding contribution <sup>7</sup>, we first of all intended to interpret the consequences of an “Unrestricted and Combined” use (U&C) of Rüdberg’s approximations in UHF theory, apart from any numerical application. Within this context, the term “Unrestricted” indicated that the considered approximations had been applied irrespectively of the one-, two-, and multi-index or -center quality of the integrals involved. (Note that “Unrestricted” in this sense has nothing to do with the conceptual particularities of the “Unrestricted Hartree-Fock” picture itself).

The analysis of Ref. 7 yielded the following results :

- The U&C use of Rüdberg’s Mulliken-type approximations (M.U&C) led to a completed understanding <sup>8</sup> of the Wolfsberg-Helmholz formula <sup>9</sup> which is a constituent part of the semi-empirical “Extended Hückel Theory” (EHT) <sup>10</sup>.
- Furthermore, an improved Rüdberg-type variant of M.U&C called R.U&C had been proposed which is appropriate for non-empirical computer implementations, since it fulfills the “rotational invariance requirement” <sup>11</sup> of all *ab-initio* quantum chemical concepts.
- Supposing an orthonormal atomic orbital basis set, our M.U&C and R.U&C frameworks immediately converted into two additional “Zero Integral Overlap” (ZIO) and “Neglect of Diatomic Integral Overlap” (NDIO) pictures, which are partially identical with the widely-used ZDO and NDDO approximation schemes, respectively.
- We concluded in pointing out that all these four approximations, which are commonly based on the U&C use of Rüdberg’s ideas, imply considerable oversimplifications.

In order to overcome such shortcomings, another set of four “Restricted and Combined” (R&C) concepts had been sketched. Here, the attribute “Restricted” indicated the avoidance of particular oversimplifications which is consistent with the corresponding level of approximation.

In the present article we now intend to work out this R&C route of Rüdberg-type approximations. For this purpose it is convenient, first of all, to write down the UHF Fock-matrix representation in a partitive form which separates explicitly the different one-, two-, three-, and four-index or -center interactions from one another in an appropriate way. Each of the four sections, which discuss the pictures of Mulliken, ZIO, Rüdberg, and NDIO type, is subdivided into two parts. While the first part intends to explain, how oversimplifications generally arise in certain cases of three-index or three-center repulsion integral approximations, the second

subsection specifies the “Restricted and Combined” approximation picture for Fock-matrix elements. The equations of these second subsections are constitutive for any forthcoming numerical M.R&C, ZIO.R&C, R.R&C, and NDIO.R&C investigation.

Finally, it should be stressed that such R&C routes of approximations do not improve the quality of all multi-index or multi-center integrals, in general <sup>12</sup>. Improvements, however, can be expected from the fact that conceptual shortcomings of the standard Mulliken-, ZDO-, Rüdénberg-, and NDDO-pictures are minimized in a way, which is designed to be well-balanced in both attractive and repulsive energy contributions.

## 2. Basic equations

Within the Born-Oppenheimer picture of  $N_n$  fixed nuclear positions standard non-empirical quantum chemical methods <sup>13</sup> usually expand the delocalized molecular orbitals  $\{\Psi_j(\mathbf{r}_i)|j = 1, \dots, N_o\}$  as linear combinations of  $N_o$  atomic basis functions  $\{\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i)|\mu = 1, \dots, N_o\} \equiv \{\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M)|M = 1, \dots, N_n; \mu = 1, \dots, n_o(M)\}$ . In the ‘‘Unrestricted Hartree-Fock’’ theory (UHF) of Pople & Nesbet <sup>6</sup>, which is central within the scope of this paper, two different molecular orbital sets have to be determined. The  $\alpha$ -spin ‘‘Linear Combination of Atomic Orbitals’’ (LCAO), for instance, reads :

$$\Psi_j^\alpha(\mathbf{r}_i) := \sum_{\mu=1}^{N_o} \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i) C_{\mu j}^\alpha, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_o. \quad (2.1)$$

An equivalent second ansatz has to be made for spin  $\beta$ . If one should find the unrestricted  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -spin orbitals to be identical, they both are of the restricted form according to Roothaan <sup>5</sup>. Hence, Roothaan’s ‘‘Restricted Hartree-Fock’’ theory (RHF) is formally included in the more general Pople-Nesbet description. We therefore restrict our discussion to UHF theory. If necessary, all expressions then can be translated easily into the RHF picture.

In order to be more specific, Eq. (2.1) can be rewritten as follows :

$$\Psi_j^\alpha(\mathbf{r}_i) := \sum_{M=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\mu=1}^{n_o(M)} \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) C_{(M,\mu)j}^\alpha, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_o. \quad (2.2)$$

In contrast to the first notation of Eq. (2.1), Eq. (2.2) explicitly specifies the position vector  $\mathbf{R}_M \equiv (x_M, y_M, z_M)$  of atom  $M$ , to which all  $n_o(M)$  basis functions with index  $\mu$  belong. While the first notation will be chosen in discussing the simple approximation recipe of Mulliken <sup>2</sup> and the ‘‘Zero Integral Overlap’’ scheme (ZIO) <sup>7</sup>, the second notation will turn out to be particularly appropriate in the context of Rudenberg’s more elaborate integral approximation <sup>1</sup> and the ‘‘Neglect of Diatomic Integral Overlap’’ concept (NDIO) <sup>7</sup>.

Four types of integrals can be distinguished within both UHF and RHF theories :

- *Overlap integrals*

$$\int \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_N) d\mathbf{r}_i \equiv \begin{cases} S_{\mu\nu} & \text{notation 1} \\ \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu & \nu \end{pmatrix} & \text{notation 2} \end{cases}, \quad (2.3)$$

- *kinetic energy integrals*

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) [\Delta(\mathbf{r}_i) \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_N)] d\mathbf{r}_i \equiv \begin{cases} K_{\mu\nu} & \text{notation 1} \\ K_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)} & \text{notation 2} \end{cases}, \quad (2.4)$$

with the Laplacian operator  $\Delta(\mathbf{r}_i) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i^2}$ , and

- *nuclear attraction integrals*

$$-Z_P \int \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_P|^{-1} \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_N) d\mathbf{r}_i \equiv \begin{cases} V_{\mu\nu}(P) & \text{notation 1} \\ \left( \begin{smallmatrix} M & N \\ \mu & \nu \end{smallmatrix} P \right) & \text{notation 2} \end{cases}, \quad (2.5)$$

with the atomic number  $Z_P$  of nucleus  $P$ , depend on the three Cartesian coordinates  $\mathbf{r}_i \equiv (x_i, y_i, z_i)$  of one electron only. Using the chemists' notation, the six-dimensional

- two-electron *repulsion integrals* read as follows :

$$\int \int \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_N) |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^{-1} \times \Phi_\tau(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{R}_T) \Phi_\lambda(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{R}_L) d\mathbf{r}_i d\mathbf{r}_j \equiv \begin{cases} (\mu\nu|\tau\lambda) & \text{notation 1} \\ \left( \begin{smallmatrix} M & N & T & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{smallmatrix} \right) & \text{notation 2} \end{cases}. \quad (2.6)$$

Hence, three-index (notation 1) and three-center (notation 2) integrals can be of attraction and repulsion type, whereas all four-index (notation 1) and four-center (notation 2) integrals are exclusively repulsive.

Atomic units (a.u.) are used throughout this paper. For convenience, all atomic functions are taken to be real, normalized, and locally orthogonal.

## 2.1. Standard formulation of UHF theory using notation 1

Next, we write down two different, but equivalent, formulations of the unrestricted  $\alpha$ -spin Fock-matrix representation. Using the first notation we can write :

$$F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = K_{\mu\nu} + \underbrace{\sum_{P=1}^{N_n} V_{\mu\nu}(P)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_{\mu\nu}^A} + \underbrace{\sum_{\tau,\lambda=1}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^\oplus(\mu\nu|\tau\lambda)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_{\mu\nu}^C} - \underbrace{\sum_{\tau,\lambda=1}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^\alpha(\mu\tau|\nu\lambda)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha E}}, \quad \mu, \nu = 1, \dots, N_o. \quad (2.7)$$

The symbols  $A$ ,  $C$ , and  $E$  stand for the *Attractive*, *Coulomb*, and *Exchange* parts of the Fock-representation, respectively. The  $\alpha$ -spin density matrix with elements

$$P_{\tau\lambda}^\alpha = \sum_{a=1}^{N_\alpha} C_{\tau a}^\alpha C_{\lambda a}^\alpha \quad (2.8)$$

has to be constructed from the occupied  $\alpha$ -spin coefficient columns of Eq. (2.1), arranged in ascending order with respect to the corresponding orbital energies.  $N_\alpha$  denotes the number of  $\alpha$ -electrons in the molecule. Again, equivalent expressions for  $\beta$ -spin have to be formulated analogously. The total density matrix is defined through :

$$\mathbf{P}^\oplus = \mathbf{P}^\alpha + \mathbf{P}^\beta. \quad (2.9)$$

In the RHF theory, however, no second equation for  $\beta$ -spin is needed, since in this case  $N_\alpha = N_\beta$  and the molecular orbitals are restricted to be doubly occupied in general. Consequently one finds in this case that  $\mathbf{P}^\alpha = \mathbf{P}^\beta = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P}^\oplus$ .

## 2.2. Partitive Fock-matrix representations mainly using notation 1

With a second formulation of the three definitions in Eq. (2.7) we intend to separate explicitly from one another those terms, which represent four-index and three-index interactions. Furthermore, both groups will be isolated from two- or one-index terms <sup>14</sup>.

Since the second notation also specifies the atomic index, it is more appropriate for a partitive formulation of the attractive contributions to the Fock matrix. Exceptionally, notation 2 will be used in this case. Therefore, we write for the off-diagonal attractive part :

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^A}_{N \neq M} = \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{P=1 \\ P \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \left( \begin{matrix} M & P & N \\ \mu & & \nu \end{matrix} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(1)}{=} A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}} + \underbrace{\left( \begin{matrix} M & N & N \\ \mu & & \nu \end{matrix} \right) + \left( \begin{matrix} M & M & N \\ \mu & & \nu \end{matrix} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(0)}{=} A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}}. \quad (2.10)$$

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^A}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{P=1 \\ P \neq M}}^{N_n} \left( \begin{matrix} M & P & M \\ \mu & & \nu \end{matrix} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(1)}{=} A_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}} + \underbrace{\left( \begin{matrix} M & M & M \\ \mu & & \nu \end{matrix} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(0)}{=} A_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}}. \quad (2.11)$$

For the diagonal attractive part we write :

$$F_{(M,\mu)(M,\mu)}^A = \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{P=1 \\ P \neq M}}^{N_n} \left( \begin{matrix} M & P & M \\ \mu & & \mu \end{matrix} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(1)}{=} A_{(M,\mu)(M,\mu)}} + \underbrace{\left( \begin{matrix} M & M & M \\ \mu & & \mu \end{matrix} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(0)}{=} A_{(M,\mu)(M,\mu)}}. \quad (2.12)$$

For the off-diagonal Coulomb part we write :

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{F_{\mu\nu}^C}_{\nu \neq \mu} &= \underbrace{\sum_{\tau=1}^{N_o} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^\oplus (\mu\nu|\tau\lambda)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(1)}{=} C_{\mu\nu}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\tau=1}^{N_o} P_{\tau\tau}^\oplus (\mu\nu|\tau\tau)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(2)}{=} C_{\mu\nu}} \\ &+ 2 \underbrace{\sum_{\tau=1}^{N_o} P_{\tau\mu}^\oplus (\mu\nu|\tau\mu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(3)}{=} C_{\mu\nu}} + 2 \underbrace{\sum_{\tau=1}^{N_o} P_{\tau\nu}^\oplus (\mu\nu|\tau\nu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(4)}{=} C_{\mu\nu}} + {}^{(0)}C_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

with

$$\underbrace{{}^{(0)}C_{\mu\nu}}_{\nu \neq \mu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_{\mu\mu}^{\oplus}(\mu\nu|\mu\mu) + P_{\nu\nu}^{\oplus}(\mu\nu|\nu\nu) + 2P_{\mu\nu}^{\oplus}(\mu\nu|\mu\nu). \quad (2.14)$$

For the diagonal Coulomb part we write :

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\mu}^C &= \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu}}^{N_o} \sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \mu, \tau}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^{\oplus}(\mu\mu|\tau\lambda)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_{\mu\mu}^{(1)}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\tau}^{\oplus}(\mu\mu|\tau\tau)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_{\mu\mu}^{(2)}} \\ &+ 2 \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\mu}^{\oplus}(\mu\mu|\tau\mu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_{\mu\mu}^{(3)}} + \underbrace{P_{\mu\mu}^{\oplus}(\mu\mu|\mu\mu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_{\mu\mu}^{(0)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

For the off-diagonal exchange part we write :

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha E}}_{\nu \neq \mu} &= \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} \sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \mu, \nu, \tau}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^{\alpha}(\mu\tau|\nu\lambda)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha(1)}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\tau}^{\alpha}(\mu\tau|\nu\tau)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha(2)}} \\ &+ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\mu}^{\alpha}(\mu\tau|\nu\mu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha(3)}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\nu}^{\alpha}(\mu\tau|\nu\nu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha(4)}} \\ &+ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\mu\lambda}^{\alpha}(\mu\mu|\nu\lambda)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha(5)}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\nu\lambda}^{\alpha}(\mu\nu|\nu\lambda)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha(6)}} + {}^{(0)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

with

$$\underbrace{{}^{(0)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}}_{\nu \neq \mu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha}(\mu\mu|\nu\mu) + P_{\nu\nu}^{\alpha}(\mu\nu|\nu\nu) + P_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}(\mu\mu|\nu\nu) + P_{\nu\mu}^{\alpha}(\mu\nu|\nu\mu). \quad (2.17)$$

For the diagonal exchange part we write :

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha E} &= \underbrace{\sum_{\tau=1}^{N_o} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^{\alpha} (\mu\tau|\mu\lambda)}_{\stackrel{\text{def (1)}}{=} E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\tau=1}^{N_o} P_{\tau\tau}^{\alpha} (\mu\tau|\mu\tau)}_{\stackrel{\text{def (2)}}{=} E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha}} \\
&+ \underbrace{\sum_{\tau=1}^{N_o} P_{\tau\mu}^{\alpha} (\mu\tau|\mu\mu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def (3)}}{=} E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_o} P_{\mu\lambda}^{\alpha} (\mu\mu|\mu\lambda)}_{\stackrel{\text{def (5)}}{=} E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha}} + \underbrace{P_{\tau\lambda}^{\alpha} (\mu\mu|\mu\mu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def (0)}}{=} E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Once again it should be stressed that the ensemble of partitive formulations of the Eqs. (2.10) ... (2.18) are completely equivalent to the standard formulation of Eq. (2.7).

### 2.3. Standard Fock-matrix representations using notation 2

Choosing the more specific second notation, the formulas (2.7) and (2.8) now read :

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha} &= K_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)} + \underbrace{\sum_{P=1}^{N_n} \binom{M}{\mu} P \binom{N}{\nu}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^A} \\
&+ \underbrace{\sum_{T,L=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\oplus} \binom{M}{\mu} \binom{N}{\nu} \binom{T}{\tau} \binom{L}{\lambda}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^C} \\
&- \underbrace{\sum_{T,L=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \binom{M}{\mu} \binom{T}{\tau} \binom{N}{\nu} \binom{L}{\lambda}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha E}}, \quad \begin{cases} M, N = 1, \dots, N_n \\ \mu = 1, \dots, n_o(M) \\ \nu = 1, \dots, n_o(N), \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

$$P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\alpha} = \sum_{a=1}^{N_{\alpha}} C_{(T,\tau)a}^{\alpha} C_{(L,\lambda)a}^{\alpha}. \tag{2.20}$$

### 2.4. Partitive Fock-matrix representations using notation 2

With a second formulation of the three definitions in Eq. (2.19) we intend to separate explicitly from one another those terms, which represent four-center and three-center interactions. Furthermore, both groups will be isolated from two- or one-center terms <sup>14</sup>.



For the off-blockdiagonal attractive part we write :

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^A}_{N \neq M} = \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{P=1 \\ P \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \binom{M \ P \ N}{\mu \ \nu}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(1)}{=} A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}} + \underbrace{\binom{M \ N \ N}{\mu \ \nu} + \binom{M \ M \ N}{\mu \ \nu}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(0)}{=} A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}}. \quad (2.21)$$

For the blockdiagonal attractive part we write :

$$F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^A = \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{P=1 \\ P \neq M}}^{N_n} \binom{M \ P \ M}{\mu \ \nu}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(1)}{=} A_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}} + \underbrace{\binom{M \ M \ M}{\mu \ \nu}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(0)}{=} A_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}}. \quad (2.22)$$

For the off-blockdiagonal Coulomb part we write :

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^C}_{N \neq M} &= \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\substack{L=1 \\ L \neq M, N, T}}^{N_n} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^\oplus \binom{M \ N \ T \ L}{\mu \ \nu \ \tau \ \lambda}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(1)}{=} C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}} \\ &+ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(T)} P_{(T,\tau)(T,\lambda)}^\oplus \binom{M \ N \ T \ T}{\mu \ \nu \ \tau \ \lambda}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(2)}{=} C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}} \\ &+ 2 \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(T,\tau)(M,\lambda)}^\oplus \binom{M \ N \ T \ M}{\mu \ \nu \ \tau \ \lambda}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(3)}{=} C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}} \\ &+ 2 \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(T,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\oplus \binom{M \ N \ T \ N}{\mu \ \nu \ \tau \ \lambda}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(4)}{=} C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}} + {}^{(0)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{{}^{(0)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}}_{N \neq M} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\tau)(M,\lambda)}^{\oplus} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & N \\ \mu & \nu \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} M \\ \tau \end{array} \begin{array}{c} M \\ \lambda \end{array} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(N,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^{\oplus} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & N \\ \mu & \nu \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} N \\ \tau \end{array} \begin{array}{c} N \\ \lambda \end{array} \right) \\
&+ 2 \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(M,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^{\oplus} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & N \\ \mu & \nu \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} M \\ \tau \end{array} \begin{array}{c} N \\ \lambda \end{array} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

For the blockdiagonal Coulomb part we write :

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^C &= \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\substack{L=1 \\ L \neq M, T}}^{N_n} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\oplus} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & M \\ \mu & \nu \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} T \\ \tau \end{array} \begin{array}{c} L \\ \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}^{(1)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}} \\
&+ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(T)} P_{(T,\tau)(T,\lambda)}^{\oplus} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & M \\ \mu & \nu \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} T \\ \tau \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T \\ \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}^{(2)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}} \\
&+ 2 \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(T,\tau)(M,\lambda)}^{\oplus} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & M \\ \mu & \nu \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} T \\ \tau \end{array} \begin{array}{c} M \\ \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}^{(3)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}} \\
&+ \underbrace{\sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\tau)(M,\lambda)}^{\oplus} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & M \\ \mu & \nu \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} M \\ \tau \end{array} \begin{array}{c} M \\ \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}^{(0)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

For the off-blockdiagonal exchange part we write :

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha E}}_{N \neq M} &= \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\substack{L=1 \\ L \neq M, N, T}}^{N_n} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & T \\ \mu & \tau \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} N & L \\ \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def(1)}}{=} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha}} \\
&+ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(T)} P_{(T,\tau)(T,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & T \\ \mu & \tau \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} N & T \\ \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def(2)}}{=} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha}} \\
&+ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(T,\tau)(M,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & T \\ \mu & \tau \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} N & M \\ \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def(3)}}{=} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha}} \\
&+ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(T,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & T \\ \mu & \tau \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} N & N \\ \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def(4)}}{=} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha}} \\
&+ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{L=1 \\ L \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(M,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & M \\ \mu & \tau \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} N & L \\ \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def(5)}}{=} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha}} \\
&+ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{L=1 \\ L \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(N,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & N \\ \mu & \tau \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} N & L \\ \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def(6)}}{=} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha}} \\
&+ {}^{(0)} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{{}^{(0)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^\alpha}_{N \neq M} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\tau)(M,\lambda)}^\alpha \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & N & M \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(N,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\alpha \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & N & N & N \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(M,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\alpha \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & N & N \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(N,\tau)(M,\lambda)}^\alpha \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & N & N & M \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

For the blockdiagonal exchange part we write :

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha E} &= \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\substack{L=1 \\ L \neq M, T}}^{N_n} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^\alpha \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}^{(1)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^\alpha} \\
&+ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(T)} P_{(T,\tau)(T,\lambda)}^\alpha \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & T \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}^{(2)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^\alpha} \\
&+ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(T,\tau)(M,\lambda)}^\alpha \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & M \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}^{(3)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^\alpha} \\
&+ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{L=1 \\ L \neq M}}^{N_n} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^\alpha \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & M & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}^{(5)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^\alpha} \\
&+ \underbrace{\sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\tau)(M,\lambda)}^\alpha \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & M & M \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}^{(0)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

It should be stressed once again that the ensemble of partitive formulations of Eqs. (2.21) ... (2.28) are completely equivalent to the compact formulations of Eq. (2.19).

## 2.5. Approximations for integrals and Fock-matrix elements

We now turn to the discussion of four approximation methods connected with the names of Mulliken (M) and Rüdénberg (R) and the acronyms ZIO (“Zero Integral Overlap”) and NDIO (“Neglect of Diatomic Integral Overlap”). ZIO and NDIO can be regarded as two-electron extensions of the well-known ZDO and NDDO schemes, respectively.

These four approximation methods are commonly based on Rüdénberg’s ideas contained in his famous short paper of 1951 entitled “*On the Three- and Four-Center Integrals in Molecular Quantum Mechanics*”. Obviously, this title suggests that Rüdénberg does not recommend his approximation for all types of two-center integrals. When applied to certain three-center repulsion integrals, however, his recipe still implies considerable oversimplifications, as we shall see, which have not been discussed explicitly in his contribution. Using both one- and two-electron routes of Rüdénberg’s expansion, on the other hand, these shortcomings can be strictly avoided.

The simple recipes of Mulliken type discussed below, as well as the even more primitive ZIO scheme, will be considered here in the sense of a preliminary study. In general, both simplifications are not invariant with respect to rotations of any local coordinate axes, for instance <sup>11</sup>. Thus, they cannot be applied without imposing additional assumptions. Rüdénberg’s integral approximation as well as the NDIO concept, on the other hand, fulfill this rotational invariance requirement automatically. In our view, only these two are of practical interest in connection with a non-empirical LCAO method. Nevertheless, since they are closely related to Mulliken’s recipe and the ZIO scheme, an analysis of the two simpler procedures is obligatory, too.

Besides the integral approximations themselves, our interest is focussed on their effect for the evaluation of matrix elements occurring in the UHF representation.

### 3. Approximations of Mulliken type

#### 3.1. “Unrestricted” and “Restricted” integral approximations

In particular, Mulliken’s approximation intends to reduce the four-index repulsion integrals to others with only two indices. According to Rüdberg, this aim can be reached in two ways. The first (standard) approach consists in reducing a differential two-index one-electron density to the corresponding one-electron overlap integral and the arithmetic mean of the two related differential one-index one-electron densities :

$$(I) \quad \{\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i)\Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i)\}^{[M_{\mu\nu}^I]} := \frac{S_{\mu\nu}}{2} \{\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i)\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i) + \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i)\Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i)\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Alternatively, one can also impose this primitive recipe on six-dimensional two-index two-electron orbital products :

$$(II) \quad \{\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i)\Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_j)\}^{[M_{\mu\nu}^{II}]} := \frac{S_{\mu\nu}}{2} \{\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i)\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_j) + \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i)\Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_j)\}. \quad (3.2)$$

In a Mulliken-type treatment of four-index repulsion integrals each of both routes have to be passed through twice :

$$(I) \quad (\mu\nu|\tau\lambda)^{[M_{\mu\nu}^I M_{\tau\lambda}^I]} := \frac{S_{\mu\nu}}{2} \{(\mu\mu|\tau\lambda)^{[M_{\tau\lambda}^I]} + (\nu\nu|\tau\lambda)^{[M_{\tau\lambda}^I]}\} \\ := \frac{S_{\mu\nu}S_{\tau\lambda}}{4} \{(\mu\mu|\tau\tau) + (\mu\mu|\lambda\lambda) + (\nu\nu|\tau\tau) + (\nu\nu|\lambda\lambda)\}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$(II) \quad (\mu\nu|\tau\lambda)^{[M_{\mu\tau}^{II} M_{\nu\lambda}^{II}]} := \frac{S_{\mu\tau}}{2} \{(\mu\nu|\mu\lambda)^{[M_{\nu\lambda}^{II}]} + (\tau\nu|\tau\lambda)^{[M_{\nu\lambda}^{II}]}\} \\ := \frac{S_{\mu\tau}S_{\nu\lambda}}{4} \{(\mu\nu|\mu\nu) + (\mu\lambda|\mu\lambda) + (\tau\nu|\tau\nu) + (\tau\lambda|\tau\lambda)\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Having interchanged the indices  $\nu$  and  $\tau$ , Eq. (3.4) equivalently reads :

$$(II) \quad (\mu\tau|\nu\lambda)^{[M_{\mu\nu}^{II} M_{\tau\lambda}^{II}]} := \frac{S_{\mu\nu}}{2} \{(\mu\tau|\mu\lambda)^{[M_{\tau\lambda}^{II}]} + (\nu\tau|\nu\lambda)^{[M_{\tau\lambda}^{II}]}\} \\ := \frac{S_{\mu\nu}S_{\tau\lambda}}{4} \{(\mu\tau|\mu\tau) + (\mu\lambda|\mu\lambda) + (\nu\tau|\nu\tau) + (\nu\lambda|\nu\lambda)\}. \quad (3.5)$$

In addition to these formulas, which are already contained in Rüdberg’s letter, let us consider the three-index repulsion integrals  $(\mu\mu|\tau\lambda)$  and  $(\mu\tau|\mu\lambda)$ .

(I) Using two one-electron approximations of Mulliken type we get :

$$(\mu\mu|\tau\lambda)^{[M_{\mu\mu}^I M_{\tau\lambda}^I]} := (\mu\mu|\tau\lambda)^{[M_{\tau\lambda}^I]} := \frac{S_{\tau\lambda}}{2} \{(\mu\mu|\tau\tau) + (\mu\mu|\lambda\lambda)\}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$(\mu\tau|\mu\lambda)^{[M_{\mu\tau}^I M_{\mu\lambda}^I]} := \frac{S_{\mu\tau}}{2} \{(\mu\mu|\mu\lambda)^{[M_{\mu\lambda}^I]} + (\tau\tau|\mu\lambda)^{[M_{\mu\lambda}^I]}\} \\ := \frac{S_{\mu\tau}S_{\mu\lambda}}{4} \{(\mu\mu|\mu\mu) + (\mu\mu|\lambda\lambda) + (\tau\tau|\mu\mu) + (\tau\tau|\lambda\lambda)\}. \quad (3.7)$$

(II) Using two two-electron approximations of Mulliken type we get :

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu\mu|\tau\lambda)^{[M_{\mu\tau}^{\text{II}}M_{\mu\lambda}^{\text{II}}]} &:= \frac{S_{\mu\tau}}{2} \left\{ (\mu\mu|\mu\lambda)^{[M_{\mu\lambda}^{\text{II}}]} + (\tau\mu|\tau\lambda)^{[M_{\mu\lambda}^{\text{II}}]} \right\} \\ &:= \frac{S_{\mu\tau}S_{\mu\lambda}}{4} \{ (\mu\mu|\mu\mu) + (\mu\lambda|\mu\lambda) + (\tau\mu|\tau\mu) + (\tau\lambda|\tau\lambda) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$(\mu\tau|\mu\lambda)^{[M_{\mu\mu}^{\text{II}}M_{\tau\lambda}^{\text{II}}]} := (\mu\tau|\mu\lambda)^{[M_{\tau\lambda}^{\text{II}}]} := \frac{S_{\tau\lambda}}{2} \{ (\mu\tau|\mu\tau) + (\mu\lambda|\mu\lambda) \} \quad (3.9).$$

Hence, applying Mulliken's approximation twice implies an oversimplification of the two-index integral  $(\mu\mu|\mu\lambda)^{[M_{\mu\lambda}^{\text{II}}]}$  in Eq. (3.7) and of  $(\mu\mu|\mu\lambda)^{[M_{\mu\lambda}^{\text{II}}]}$  in Eq. (3.8). Obviously, the formulations of Eqs. (3.6) and (3.9) should be preferred, because Mulliken's simplifying recipe there has been used only once. While the oversimplifying "unrestricted" branch of approximation has been discussed comprehensively elsewhere <sup>7</sup>, we now turn to the corresponding "restricted" route which avoids such shortcomings.

### 3.2. "Restricted and Combined Mulliken" approximations (M.R&C) for Fock-matrix elements

The term ' "Restricted and Combined Mulliken" approximations (M.R&C) ' indicates,

- that both one-electron and two-electron routes of approximation are combined in the sense outlined in Ref. 7, and
- that in this subsection we are going to distinguish four-index and three-index interactions from one another and those of two-index or one-index type. All different types of three-index integrals occurring in Eqs. (2.10) ... (2.18) will be treated in such a way, that oversimplifications are avoided by applying Mulliken's approximations only once. Furthermore, this time all one- and two-index interactions are considered to be evaluated accurately.

Distinguishing off-diagonal from diagonal matrix elements, we define according to Eq. (2.7) :

$$\underbrace{F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[M.R\&C]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := K_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu}^A[M.R\&C] + F_{\mu\nu}^C[M.R\&C] - F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha E[M.R\&C]}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$F_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha[M.R\&C]} := K_{\mu\mu} + F_{\mu\mu}^A + F_{\mu\mu}^C[M.R\&C] - F_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha E[M.R\&C]}. \quad (3.11)$$

For the off-diagonal attractive part we define according to the Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11), respectively :

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^A[M.R\&C]}_{N \neq M} := {}^{(0)}A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)} + {}^{(1)}A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[M^I]}. \quad (3.12)$$

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^A[M.R\&C]}_{\nu \neq \mu} := {}^{(0)}A_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)} + {}^{(1)}A_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{[M^I]}. \quad (3.13)$$

For the off-diagonal Coulomb part we define according to Eq. (2.13) :

$$\underbrace{F_{\mu\nu}^{C[M.R\&C]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := {}^{(0)}C_{\mu\nu} + {}^{(1)}C_{\mu\nu}^{[M^I M^I]} + {}^{(2)}C_{\mu\nu}^{[M^I]} + 2{}^{(3)}C_{\mu\nu}^{[M^{II}]} + 2{}^{(4)}C_{\mu\nu}^{[M^{II}]} . \quad (3.14)$$

For the diagonal Coulomb part we define according to Eq. (2.15) :

$$F_{\mu\mu}^{C[M.R\&C]} := {}^{(0)}C_{\mu\mu} + {}^{(1)}C_{\mu\mu}^{[M^I]} + {}^{(2)}C_{\mu\mu} + 2{}^{(3)}C_{\mu\mu} . \quad (3.15)$$

For the off-diagonal exchange part we define according to Eq. (2.16) :

$$\underbrace{F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha E[M.R\&C]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := {}^{(0)}E_{\mu\nu}^\alpha + {}^{(1)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[M^{II} M^{II}]} + {}^{(2)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[M^{II}]} \\ + {}^{(3)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[M^{II}]} + {}^{(4)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[M^I]} + {}^{(5)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[M^I]} + {}^{(6)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[M^I]} . \quad (3.16)$$

For the diagonal exchange part we define according to Eq. (2.18) :

$$F_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha E[M.R\&C]} := {}^{(0)}E_{\mu\mu}^\alpha + {}^{(1)}E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha[M^{II}]} + {}^{(2)}E_{\mu\mu}^\alpha + {}^{(3)}E_{\mu\mu}^\alpha + {}^{(5)}E_{\mu\mu}^\alpha . \quad (3.17)$$

The different quantities occuring in the Eqs. (3.12) ... (3.17) are defined as follows <sup>14</sup>.

$$\underbrace{{}^{(1)}A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[M^I]}}_{N \neq M} := \binom{M \ N}{\mu \ \nu} \times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{P=1}^{N_n} \binom{M \ P \ M}{\mu \ \mu}}_{P \neq M, N} + \underbrace{\sum_{P=1}^{N_n} \binom{N \ P \ N}{\nu \ \nu}}_{P \neq M, N} \right\}, \quad (3.18)$$

$\stackrel{\text{def}(1.1)}{=} A_{(M,\mu,\mu)(N)}$ 
 $\stackrel{\text{def}(1.2)}{=} A_{(M)(N,\nu,\nu)}$

with

$$\underbrace{{}^{(1.1)}A_{(M,\mu,\mu)(N)}}_{N \neq M} = \underbrace{\sum_{P=1}^{N_n} \binom{M \ P \ M}{\mu \ \mu}}_{=F_{(M,\mu)(M,\mu)}^A} - \binom{M \ M \ M}{\mu \ \mu} - \binom{M \ N \ M}{\mu \ \mu}, \quad (3.19)$$

and

$$\underbrace{{}^{(1.2)}A_{(M)(N,\nu,\nu)}}_{N \neq M} = \underbrace{\sum_{P=1}^{N_n} \binom{N \ P \ N}{\nu \ \nu}}_{=F_{(N,\nu)(N,\nu)}^A} - \binom{N \ M \ N}{\nu \ \nu} - \binom{N \ N \ N}{\nu \ \nu}. \quad (3.20)$$

$$\underbrace{{}^{(1)}A_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{[M^I]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := 0. \quad (3.21)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{{}^{(1)}C_{\mu\nu}^{[M^I M^I]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} &:= S_{\mu\nu} \times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{\tau=1}^{N_o} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^{\oplus} (\mu\mu|\tau\lambda)^{[M^I_{\tau\lambda}]}}_{\substack{\tau \neq \mu, \nu \quad \lambda \neq \mu, \nu, \tau \\ \stackrel{\text{def (1.1)}}{=} C_{\mu\mu}^{[M^I]}}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \underbrace{\sum_{\tau=1}^{N_o} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^{\oplus} (\nu\nu|\tau\lambda)^{[M^I_{\tau\lambda}]}}_{\substack{\tau \neq \mu, \nu \quad \lambda \neq \mu, \nu, \tau \\ \stackrel{\text{def (1.2)}}{=} C_{\nu\nu}^{[M^I]}}} \right\}, \tag{3.22}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{{}^{(1.1)}C_{\mu\mu}^{[M^I]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} &:= \sum_{\tau=1}^{N_o} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^{\oplus} S_{\tau\lambda} \times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\mu\mu|\tau\tau) + (\mu\mu|\lambda\lambda) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu}}^{N_o} (\mu\mu|\tau\tau) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^{\oplus} \mathbf{S})_{\tau\tau} - P_{\tau\tau}^{\oplus} - P_{\nu\tau}^{\oplus} S_{\nu\tau} - P_{\mu\tau}^{\oplus} S_{\mu\tau} \right\} \\
&\quad - (\mu\mu|\nu\nu) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^{\oplus} \mathbf{S})_{\nu\nu} - 2P_{\nu\nu}^{\oplus} - P_{\mu\nu}^{\oplus} S_{\mu\nu} \right\}. \tag{3.23}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{{}^{(1.2)}C_{\nu\nu}^{[M^I]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} &:= \sum_{\tau=1}^{N_o} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^{\oplus} S_{\tau\lambda} \times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\nu\nu|\tau\tau) + (\nu\nu|\lambda\lambda) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \nu}}^{N_o} (\nu\nu|\tau\tau) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^{\oplus} \mathbf{S})_{\tau\tau} - P_{\tau\tau}^{\oplus} - P_{\tau\nu}^{\oplus} S_{\tau\nu} - P_{\mu\tau}^{\oplus} S_{\mu\tau} \right\} \\
&\quad - (\nu\nu|\mu\mu) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^{\oplus} \mathbf{S})_{\mu\mu} - 2P_{\mu\mu}^{\oplus} - P_{\mu\nu}^{\oplus} S_{\mu\nu} \right\}. \tag{3.24}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{(1)}C_{\mu\mu}^{[M^I]} &:= \sum_{\tau=1}^{N_o} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^{\oplus} S_{\tau\lambda} \times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\mu\mu|\tau\tau) + (\mu\mu|\lambda\lambda) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu}}^{N_o} (\mu\mu|\tau\tau) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^{\oplus} \mathbf{S})_{\tau\tau} - P_{\tau\tau}^{\oplus} - P_{\mu\tau}^{\oplus} S_{\mu\tau} \right\}. \tag{3.25}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\underbrace{{}^{(2)}C_{\mu\nu}^{[M^I]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := S_{\mu\nu} \times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\tau}^\oplus (\mu\mu|\tau\tau)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(2.1)}{=} C_{\mu\nu}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\tau}^\oplus (\nu\nu|\tau\tau)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(2.2)}{=} C_{\mu\nu}} \right\}, \quad (3.26)$$

with

$$\underbrace{{}^{(2.1)}C_{\mu\nu}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\tau}^\oplus (\mu\mu|\tau\tau) - P_{\nu\nu}^\oplus (\mu\mu|\nu\nu), \quad (3.27)$$

and

$$\underbrace{{}^{(2.2)}C_{\mu\nu}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\tau}^\oplus (\nu\nu|\tau\tau) - P_{\nu\nu}^\oplus (\nu\nu|\nu\nu). \quad (3.28)$$

$$\underbrace{{}^{(3)}C_{\mu\nu}^{[M^{II}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\mu\tau}^\oplus S_{\nu\tau} (\mu\nu|\nu\mu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(3.1)}{=} C_{\mu\nu}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\mu\tau}^\oplus S_{\nu\tau} (\mu\tau|\tau\mu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(3.2)}{=} C_{\mu\nu}} \right\}, \quad (3.29)$$

with

$$\underbrace{{}^{(3.1)}C_{\mu\nu}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = (\mu\nu|\nu\mu) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^\oplus \mathbf{S})_{\mu\nu} - P_{\mu\nu}^\oplus - P_{\mu\mu}^\oplus S_{\nu\mu} \right\}, \quad (3.30)$$

and

$$\underbrace{{}^{(3.2)}C_{\mu\nu}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu}}^{N_o} P_{\mu\tau}^\oplus S_{\nu\tau} (\mu\tau|\tau\mu) - P_{\mu\nu}^\oplus (\mu\nu|\nu\mu). \quad (3.31)$$

$$\underbrace{{}^{(4)}C_{\mu\nu}^{[M^{II}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\nu}^\oplus S_{\mu\tau} (\mu\nu|\mu\nu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(4.1)}{=} C_{\mu\nu}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\nu}^\oplus S_{\mu\tau} (\tau\nu|\tau\nu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(4.2)}{=} C_{\mu\nu}} \right\}, \quad (3.32)$$

with

$$\underbrace{(4.1)C_{\mu\nu}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = (\mu\nu|\mu\nu) \left\{ \mathbf{P}^\oplus \mathbf{S}_{\nu\mu} - P_{\mu\nu}^\oplus - P_{\nu\nu}^\oplus S_{\mu\nu} \right\}, \quad (3.33)$$

and

$$\underbrace{(4.2)C_{\mu\nu}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\nu}^\oplus S_{\mu\tau} (\tau\nu|\tau\nu) - P_{\nu\nu}^\oplus \left\{ S_{\mu\nu} - 1 \right\} (\nu\nu|\nu\nu) - P_{\mu\nu}^\oplus (\mu\nu|\mu\nu). \quad (3.34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{(1)E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[M^{\text{II}}M^{\text{II}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} &:= S_{\mu\nu} \times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} \sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \mu, \nu, \tau}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^\alpha (\mu\tau|\mu\lambda)^{[M_{\tau\lambda}^{\text{II}}]}}_{\stackrel{\text{def (1.1)}}{=} E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha[M^{\text{II}}]}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} \sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \mu, \nu, \tau}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^\alpha (\nu\tau|\nu\lambda)^{[M_{\tau\lambda}^{\text{II}}]} \right\}, \quad (3.35) \\ &\stackrel{\text{def (1.2)}}{=} E_{\nu\nu}^{\alpha[M^{\text{II}}]} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{(1.1)E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha[M^{\text{II}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} &:= \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} \sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \mu, \nu, \tau}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^\alpha S_{\tau\lambda} \times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\mu\tau|\mu\tau) + (\mu\lambda|\mu\lambda) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu}}^{N_o} (\mu\tau|\mu\tau) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^\alpha \mathbf{S})_{\tau\tau} - P_{\tau\tau}^\alpha - P_{\nu\tau}^\alpha S_{\nu\tau} - P_{\mu\tau}^\alpha S_{\mu\tau} \right\} \\ &\quad - (\mu\nu|\mu\nu) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^\alpha \mathbf{S})_{\nu\nu} - 2P_{\nu\nu}^\alpha - P_{\mu\nu}^\alpha S_{\mu\nu} \right\}. \quad (3.36) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{(1.2)E_{\nu\nu}^{\alpha[M^{\text{II}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} &:= \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} \sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \mu, \nu, \tau}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^\alpha S_{\tau\lambda} \times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\nu\tau|\nu\tau) + (\nu\lambda|\nu\lambda) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \nu}}^{N_o} (\nu\tau|\nu\tau) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^\alpha \mathbf{S})_{\tau\tau} - P_{\tau\tau}^\alpha - P_{\tau\nu}^\alpha S_{\tau\nu} - P_{\mu\tau}^\alpha S_{\mu\tau} \right\} \\ &\quad - (\nu\mu|\nu\mu) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^\alpha \mathbf{S})_{\mu\mu} - 2P_{\mu\mu}^\alpha - P_{\mu\nu}^\alpha S_{\mu\nu} \right\}. \quad (3.37) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha[M^{\text{II}}]} &:= \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu}}^{N_o} \sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \mu, \tau}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\lambda}^{\alpha} S_{\tau\lambda} \times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\mu\tau|\mu\tau) + (\mu\lambda|\mu\lambda) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu}}^{N_o} (\mu\tau|\mu\tau) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^{\alpha} \mathbf{S})_{\tau\tau} - P_{\tau\tau}^{\alpha} - P_{\mu\tau}^{\alpha} S_{\mu\tau} \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

$$(2) \underbrace{E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[M^{\text{II}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := S_{\mu\nu} \times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\tau}^{\alpha} (\mu\tau|\mu\tau)}_{\stackrel{\text{def (2.1)}}{=} E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\tau}^{\alpha} (\nu\tau|\nu\tau)}_{\stackrel{\text{def (2.2)}}{=} E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}} \right\}, \tag{3.39}$$

with

$$\underbrace{(2.1) E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\tau}^{\alpha} (\mu\tau|\mu\tau) - P_{\nu\nu}^{\alpha} (\mu\nu|\mu\nu), \tag{3.40}$$

and

$$\underbrace{(2.2) E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\tau}^{\alpha} (\nu\tau|\nu\tau) - P_{\nu\nu}^{\alpha} (\nu\nu|\nu\nu). \tag{3.41}$$

$$(3) \underbrace{E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[M^{\text{II}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\mu\tau}^{\alpha} S_{\nu\tau} (\mu\nu|\nu\mu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def (3.1)}}{=} E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\mu\tau}^{\alpha} S_{\nu\tau} (\mu\tau|\tau\mu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def (3.2)}}{=} E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}} \right\}, \tag{3.42}$$

with

$$\underbrace{(3.1) E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = (\mu\nu|\nu\mu) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^{\oplus} \mathbf{S})_{\mu\nu} - P_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} - P_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha} S_{\nu\mu} \right\}, \tag{3.43}$$

and

$$\underbrace{(3.2) E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu}}^{N_o} P_{\mu\tau}^{\alpha} S_{\nu\tau} (\mu\tau|\tau\mu) - P_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} (\mu\nu|\nu\mu). \tag{3.44}$$

$$\underbrace{{}^{(4)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[M^I]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\nu}^{\alpha} S_{\mu\tau}(\mu\mu|\nu\nu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def (4.1)}}{=} E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}} + \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\nu}^{\alpha} S_{\mu\tau}(\tau\tau|\nu\nu)} \right\}, \quad (3.45)$$

with

$$\underbrace{{}^{(4.1)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = (\mu\mu|\nu\nu) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^{\oplus} \mathbf{S})_{\nu\mu} - P_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} - P_{\nu\nu}^{\alpha} S_{\mu\nu} \right\}, \quad (3.46)$$

and

$$\underbrace{{}^{(4.2)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \sum_{\substack{\tau=1 \\ \tau \neq \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\tau\nu}^{\alpha} S_{\mu\tau}(\tau\tau|\nu\nu) - P_{\nu\nu}^{\alpha} \left\{ S_{\mu\nu} - 1 \right\} (\nu\nu|\nu\nu) - P_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} (\mu\mu|\nu\nu). \quad (3.47)$$

$$\underbrace{{}^{(5)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[M^I]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\mu\lambda}^{\alpha} S_{\nu\lambda}(\mu\mu|\nu\nu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def (5.1)}}{=} E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}} + \sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\mu\lambda}^{\alpha} S_{\nu\lambda}(\mu\mu|\lambda\lambda)} \right\}, \quad (3.48)$$

with

$$\underbrace{{}^{(5.1)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = (\mu\mu|\nu\nu) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^{\oplus} \mathbf{S})_{\mu\nu} - P_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} - P_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha} S_{\nu\mu} \right\}, \quad (3.49)$$

and

$$\underbrace{{}^{(5.2)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \mu}}^{N_o} P_{\mu\lambda}^{\alpha} S_{\nu\lambda}(\mu\mu|\lambda\lambda) - P_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} S_{\nu\nu}(\mu\mu|\nu\nu). \quad (3.50)$$

$$\underbrace{{}^{(6)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[M^{II}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\nu\lambda}^{\alpha} S_{\mu\lambda}(\mu\nu|\nu\mu)}_{\stackrel{\text{def (6.1)}}{=} E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}} + \sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \mu, \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\nu\lambda}^{\alpha} S_{\mu\lambda}(\lambda\nu|\nu\lambda)} \right\}, \quad (3.51)$$

with

$$\underbrace{{}^{(6.1)}E_{\mu\nu}^\alpha}_{\nu \neq \mu} = (\mu\nu|\nu\mu) \left\{ (\mathbf{P}^\oplus \mathbf{S})_{\nu\mu} - P_{\nu\mu}^\alpha - P_{\nu\nu}^\alpha S_{\mu\nu} \right\}, \quad (3.52)$$

and

$$\underbrace{{}^{(6.2)}E_{\mu\nu}^\alpha}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda=1 \\ \lambda \neq \nu}}^{N_o} P_{\nu\lambda}^\alpha S_{\mu\lambda} (\lambda\nu|\nu\lambda) - P_{\nu\mu}^\alpha (\mu\nu|\nu\mu). \quad (3.53)$$

## 4. Approximations of ZIO type

### 4.1. “Unrestricted” and “Restricted” integral approximations

If one assumes the atomic orbital basis as being not only locally but globally orthonormal, all overlap integrals occurring in the context of Mulliken-type approximations have to be substituted by the corresponding Kronecker symbol (“Zero Integral Overlap”, ZIO). What follows is partially identical with the “Zero Differential Overlap” description (ZDO) originally introduced by Parr <sup>3</sup> for an approximate treatment of two-index one-electron densities :

$$(I) \quad \{\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i)\Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i)\}^{[ZIO_{\mu\nu}^I]} := \{\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i)\Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i)\}^{[ZDO_{\mu\nu}]} := \delta_{\mu\nu}\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i)\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i). \quad (4.1)$$

This well-known ZDO picture, however, now is supplemented by an analogous two-index two-electron ZIO scheme which also refers to Rüdénberg’s fundamental distinction :

$$(II) \quad \{\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i)\Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_j)\}^{[ZIO_{\mu\nu}^{II}]} := \delta_{\mu\nu}\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i)\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_j). \quad (4.2)$$

Again, in a ZIO-type treatment of four-index repulsion integrals each of both routes have to be passed through twice :

$$(I) \quad (\mu\nu|\tau\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\mu\nu}^I ZIO_{\tau\lambda}^I]} := \delta_{\mu\nu}(\mu\mu|\tau\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\tau\lambda}^I]} := \delta_{\mu\nu}\delta_{\tau\lambda}(\mu\mu|\tau\tau), \quad (4.3)$$

$$(II) \quad (\mu\nu|\tau\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\mu\tau}^{II} ZIO_{\nu\lambda}^{II}]} := \delta_{\mu\tau}(\mu\nu|\mu\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\nu\lambda}^{II}]} := \delta_{\mu\tau}\delta_{\nu\lambda}(\mu\nu|\mu\nu). \quad (4.4)$$

Having interchanged the indices  $\nu$  and  $\tau$ , Eq. (4.4) equivalently reads :

$$(II) \quad (\mu\tau|\nu\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\mu\nu}^{II} ZIO_{\tau\lambda}^{II}]} := \delta_{\mu\nu}(\mu\tau|\mu\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\tau\lambda}^{II}]} := \delta_{\mu\nu}\delta_{\tau\lambda}(\mu\tau|\mu\tau). \quad (4.5)$$

In addition to these formulas, let us again consider the three-index repulsion integrals  $(\mu\mu|\tau\lambda)$  and  $(\mu\tau|\mu\lambda)$ .

(I) Using two one-electron approximations of ZIO type we get :

$$(\mu\mu|\tau\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\mu\mu}^I ZIO_{\tau\lambda}^I]} := (\mu\mu|\tau\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\tau\lambda}^I]} := \delta_{\tau\lambda}(\mu\mu|\tau\tau), \quad (4.6)$$

$$(\mu\tau|\mu\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\mu\tau}^I ZIO_{\mu\lambda}^I]} := \delta_{\mu\tau}(\mu\mu|\mu\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\mu\lambda}^I]} := \delta_{\mu\tau}\delta_{\mu\lambda}(\mu\mu|\mu\mu). \quad (4.7)$$

(II) Using two two-electron approximations of ZIO type we get :

$$(\mu\mu|\tau\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\mu\tau}^{II} ZIO_{\mu\lambda}^{II}]} := \delta_{\mu\tau}(\mu\mu|\mu\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\mu\lambda}^{II}]} := \delta_{\mu\tau}\delta_{\mu\lambda}(\mu\mu|\mu\mu), \quad (4.8)$$

$$(\mu\tau|\mu\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\mu\mu}^{II} ZIO_{\tau\lambda}^{II}]} := (\mu\tau|\mu\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\tau\lambda}^{II}]} := \delta_{\tau\lambda}(\mu\tau|\mu\tau). \quad (4.9)$$

Hence, like in the discussion above, applying the ZIO scheme twice implies also an oversimplification of the two-index integral  $(\mu\mu|\mu\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\mu\lambda}^I]}$  in Eq. (4.7) and of  $(\mu\mu|\mu\lambda)^{[ZIO_{\mu\lambda}^{II}]}$  in Eq. (4.8). Obviously, the formulations of Eqs. (4.6) and (4.9) should be preferred, since they use the simplifying ZIO recipe only once. While the oversimplifying “unrestricted” branch of approximation has been discussed comprehensively elsewhere <sup>7</sup>, we now turn to the corresponding “restricted” route which avoids such shortcomings.

## 4.2. “Restricted and Combined ZIO” approximations (ZIO.R&C) for Fock-matrix elements

The term ‘ “Restricted and Combined ZIO” approximations (ZIO.R&C) ’ indicates,

- that both one-electron and two-electron routes of approximation are combined in the sense outlined in Ref. 7, and
- that in this subsection we are going to distinguish four-index and three-index interactions from one another and those of two-index or one-index type. All different types of three-index integrals occurring in Eqs. (2.10) ... (2.18) will be treated in such a way that oversimplifications are avoided by applying the ZIO recipe only once. Furthermore, this time all one- and two-index interactions are considered to be evaluated accurately.

Distinguishing off-diagonal from diagonal matrix elements, we define according to Eq. (2.7) :

$$\underbrace{F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO.R\&C}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := K_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu}^A[\text{ZIO.R\&C}] + F_{\mu\nu}^C[\text{ZIO.R\&C}] - F_{\mu\nu}^E[\text{ZIO.R\&C}], \quad (4.10)$$

$$F_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO.R\&C}]} := K_{\mu\mu} + F_{\mu\mu}^A + F_{\mu\mu}^C[\text{ZIO.R\&C}] - F_{\mu\mu}^E[\text{ZIO.R\&C}]. \quad (4.11)$$

For the off-diagonal attractive part we define according to the Eqs. (3.12) and (3.13), respectively :

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^A[\text{ZIO.R\&C}]}_{N \neq M} := {}^{(0)}A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)} + {}^{(1)}A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[\text{ZIO}^I]}. \quad (4.12)$$

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^A[\text{ZIO.R\&C}]}_{\nu \neq \mu} := {}^{(0)}A_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)} + {}^{(1)}A_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{[\text{ZIO}^I]}. \quad (4.13)$$

For the off-diagonal Coulomb part we define according to Eq. (3.14) :

$$\underbrace{F_{\mu\nu}^C[\text{ZIO.R\&C}]}_{\nu \neq \mu} := {}^{(0)}C_{\mu\nu} + {}^{(1)}C_{\mu\nu}^{[\text{ZIO}^I\text{ZIO}^I]} + {}^{(2)}C_{\mu\nu}^{[\text{ZIO}^I]} + 2{}^{(3)}C_{\mu\nu}^{[\text{ZIO}^{\text{II}}]} + 2{}^{(4)}C_{\mu\nu}^{[\text{ZIO}^{\text{II}}]}. \quad (4.14)$$

For the diagonal Coulomb part we define according to Eq. (3.15) :

$$F_{\mu\mu}^C[\text{ZIO.R\&C}] := {}^{(0)}C_{\mu\mu} + {}^{(1)}C_{\mu\mu}^{[\text{ZIO}^I]} + {}^{(2)}C_{\mu\mu} + 2{}^{(3)}C_{\mu\mu}. \quad (4.15)$$

For the off-diagonal exchange part we define according to Eq. (3.16) :

$$\underbrace{F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha E[\text{ZIO.R\&C}]} }_{\nu \neq \mu} := {}^{(0)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} + {}^{(1)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO}^{\text{II}}\text{ZIO}^{\text{II}}]} + {}^{(2)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO}^{\text{II}}]} + {}^{(3)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO}^{\text{II}}]} + {}^{(4)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO}^I]} + {}^{(5)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO}^I]} + {}^{(6)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO}^I]}. \quad (4.16)$$



For the diagonal exchange part we define according to Eq. (3.17) :

$$F_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha E[\text{ZIO.R\&C}]} := {}^{(0)}E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha} + {}^{(1)}E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO}^{\text{I}}]} + {}^{(2)}E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha} + {}^{(3)}E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha} + {}^{(5)}E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha}. \quad (4.17)$$

With the additional assumption of a globally orthonormal atomic orbital basis, the different quantities occuring in Eqs. (4.12) ... (4.17) are defined as follows. From the Eqs. (3.18) and (3.21) we get :

$$\underbrace{{}^{(1)}A_{\mu\nu}^{\text{[ZIO}^{\text{I}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := 0. \quad (4.18)$$

From Eqs. (3.22), (3.25), (3.26), (3.29) and (3.32) we get :

$$\underbrace{{}^{(1)}C_{\mu\nu}^{\text{[ZIO}^{\text{I}}\text{ZIO}^{\text{I}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = {}^{(1)}C_{\mu\mu}^{\text{[ZIO}^{\text{I}}]} = \underbrace{{}^{(2)}C_{\mu\nu}^{\text{[ZIO}^{\text{I}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \underbrace{{}^{(3)}C_{\mu\nu}^{\text{[ZIO}^{\text{II}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \underbrace{{}^{(4)}C_{\mu\nu}^{\text{[ZIO}^{\text{II}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := 0. \quad (4.19)$$

From the Eqs. (3.35), (3.38), (3.39), (3.42), (3.45), (3.48) and (3.51) we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{{}^{(1)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO}^{\text{II}}\text{ZIO}^{\text{II}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} &= {}^{(1)}E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO}^{\text{II}}]} = \underbrace{{}^{(2)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO}^{\text{II}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \underbrace{{}^{(3)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO}^{\text{II}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} \\ &= \underbrace{{}^{(4)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO}^{\text{I}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \underbrace{{}^{(5)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO}^{\text{I}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} = \underbrace{{}^{(6)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha[\text{ZIO}^{\text{II}}]}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

The off-diagonal matrix elements of the Eqs. (4.12), (4.13), (4.14), and (4.16) now can be rewritten :

$$\underbrace{F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{A[ZIO.R\&C]}}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := {}^{(0)}A_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.21)$$

$$\underbrace{F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{C[ZIO.R\&C]}}}_{\nu \neq \mu} := {}^{(0)}C_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\underbrace{F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha E[\text{ZIO.R\&C}]} }_{\nu \neq \mu} := {}^{(0)}E_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}. \quad (4.23)$$

And the diagonal matrix elements of the Eqs. (2.12), (4.15), and (4.17) finally read :

$$F_{\mu\mu}^{\text{A[ZIO.R\&C]}} := {}^{(0)}A_{\mu\mu} + {}^{(1)}A_{\mu\mu}, \quad (4.24)$$

$$F_{\mu\mu}^{\text{C[ZIO.R\&C]}} := {}^{(0)}C_{\mu\mu} + {}^{(2)}C_{\mu\mu} + 2{}^{(3)}C_{\mu\mu}, \quad (4.25)$$

$$F_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha E[\text{ZIO.R\&C}]} := {}^{(0)}E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha} + {}^{(2)}E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha} + {}^{(3)}E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha} + {}^{(5)}E_{\mu\mu}^{\alpha}. \quad (4.26)$$

## 5. Approximations of Rüdberg type

### 5.1. “Unrestricted” and “Restricted” integral approximations

In particular, Rüdberg’s approximation intends to reduce the four-center repulsion integrals to those of two-center type. According to his letter of 1951, this aim can be reached in two ways. The first (standard) approach consists in expanding a differential two-center one-electron density as follows :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(I)} \quad & \left\{ \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_N) \right\}^{[\mathbf{R}_{MN}^I]} \\
 & := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \binom{M \ N}{\mu' \ \nu} \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) \Phi_{\mu'}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \binom{M \ N}{\mu \ \nu'} \Phi_{\nu'}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_N) \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_N) \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Alternatively, one can also impose such an expansion on six-dimensional two-center two-electron orbital products :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(II)} \quad & \left\{ \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{R}_N) \right\}^{[\mathbf{R}_{MN}^{II}]} \\
 & := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \binom{M \ N}{\mu' \ \nu} \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) \Phi_{\mu'}(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{R}_M) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \binom{M \ N}{\mu \ \nu'} \Phi_{\nu'}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_N) \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{R}_N) \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

In a Rüdberg-type treatment of four-center repulsion integrals each of both routes have to be passed through twice :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(I)} \quad & \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & N & T & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\mathbf{R}_{MN}^I \mathbf{R}_{TL}^I]} := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \binom{M \ N}{\mu' \ \nu} \binom{M \ M}{\mu \ \mu'} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} T & L & & \\ \tau & \lambda & & \end{array} \right)^{[\mathbf{R}_{TL}^I]} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \binom{M \ N}{\mu \ \nu'} \binom{N \ N}{\nu' \ \nu} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} T & L & & \\ \tau & \lambda & & \end{array} \right)^{[\mathbf{R}_{TL}^I]} \right\} \\
 & := \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \binom{M \ N}{\mu' \ \nu} \left[ \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \binom{T \ L}{\tau' \ \lambda} \binom{M \ M}{\mu \ \mu'} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} T & T & & \\ \tau & \tau' & & \end{array} \right) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \binom{T \ L}{\tau \ \lambda'} \binom{M \ M}{\mu \ \mu'} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} L & L & & \\ \lambda' & \lambda & & \end{array} \right) \right] \\
 & \quad + \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \binom{M \ N}{\mu \ \nu'} \left[ \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \binom{T \ L}{\tau' \ \lambda} \binom{N \ N}{\nu' \ \nu} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} T & T & & \\ \tau & \tau' & & \end{array} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \binom{T \ L}{\tau \ \lambda'} \binom{N \ N}{\nu' \ \nu} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} L & L & & \\ \lambda' & \lambda & & \end{array} \right) \right] \right\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(II)} \quad \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & N & T & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\mathbf{R}_{MT}^{\text{II}} \mathbf{R}_{NL}^{\text{II}}]} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & T \\ \mu' & \tau \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & N & M & L \\ \mu & \nu & \mu' & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\mathbf{R}_{NL}^{\text{II}}]} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & T \\ \mu & \tau' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} T & N & T & L \\ \tau' & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\mathbf{R}_{NL}^{\text{II}}]} \right\} \\
&:= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & T \\ \mu' & \tau \end{array} \right) \left[ \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} N & L \\ \nu' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & N & M & N \\ \mu & \nu & \mu' & \nu' \end{array} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} N & L \\ \nu & \lambda' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & L & M & L \\ \mu & \lambda' & \mu' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & T \\ \mu & \tau' \end{array} \right) \left[ \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} N & L \\ \nu' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} T & N & T & N \\ \tau' & \nu & \tau & \nu' \end{array} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} N & L \\ \nu & \lambda' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} T & L & T & L \\ \tau' & \lambda' & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right) \right] \right\}. \tag{5.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Having interchanged  $N$  and  $\nu$  with  $T$  and  $\tau$ , respectively, Eq. (5.4) equivalently reads :

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(II)} \quad \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & N & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\mathbf{R}_{MN}^{\text{II}} \mathbf{R}_{TL}^{\text{II}}]} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & N \\ \mu' & \nu \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & L \\ \mu & \tau & \mu' & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\mathbf{R}_{TL}^{\text{II}}]} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & N \\ \mu & \nu' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} N & T & N & L \\ \nu' & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\mathbf{R}_{TL}^{\text{II}}]} \right\} \\
&:= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & N \\ \mu' & \nu \end{array} \right) \left[ \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} T & L \\ \tau' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & T \\ \mu & \tau & \mu' & \tau' \end{array} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} T & L \\ \tau & \lambda' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & L & M & L \\ \mu & \lambda' & \mu' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & N \\ \mu & \nu' \end{array} \right) \left[ \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} T & L \\ \tau' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} N & T & N & T \\ \nu' & \tau & \nu & \tau' \end{array} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} T & L \\ \tau & \lambda' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} N & L & N & L \\ \nu' & \lambda' & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right) \right] \right\}. \tag{5.5}
\end{aligned}$$

In addition to these formulas, which are already contained in Rüdénberg's letter, let us consider the three-center repulsion integrals  $\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & T & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)$  and  $\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)$ .

(I) Using two one-electron approximations of Rüdénberg type we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & T & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[R_{MM}^I R_{TL}^I]} &:= \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & T & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[R_{TL}^I]} := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} T & L \\ \tau' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & T & T \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \tau' \end{array} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} T & L \\ \tau & \lambda' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & L & L \\ \mu & \nu & \lambda' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[R_{MT}^I R_{NL}^I]} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & T \\ \mu' & \tau \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & M & L \\ \mu & \mu' & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[R_{ML}^I]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & T \\ \mu & \tau' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} T & T & M & L \\ \tau' & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[R_{ML}^I]} \right\} \\ &:= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & T \\ \mu' & \tau \end{array} \right) \left[ \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & L \\ \nu' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & M & M \\ \mu & \mu' & \nu & \nu' \end{array} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & L \\ \nu & \lambda' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & L & L \\ \mu & \mu' & \lambda' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & T \\ \mu & \tau' \end{array} \right) \left[ \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & L \\ \nu' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} T & T & M & M \\ \tau' & \tau & \nu & \nu' \end{array} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & L \\ \nu & \lambda' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} T & T & L & L \\ \tau' & \tau & \lambda' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

(II) Using two two-electron approximations of Rüdénberg type we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & T & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[R_{MT}^{II} R_{ML}^{II}]} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & T \\ \mu' & \tau \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & M & L \\ \mu & \nu & \mu' & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[R_{ML}^{II}]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & T \\ \mu & \tau' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} T & M & T & L \\ \tau' & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[R_{ML}^{II}]} \right\} \\ &:= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & T \\ \mu' & \tau \end{array} \right) \left[ \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & L \\ \nu' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & M & M \\ \mu & \nu & \mu' & \nu' \end{array} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & L \\ \nu & \lambda' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & L & M & L \\ \mu & \lambda' & \mu' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & T \\ \mu & \tau' \end{array} \right) \left[ \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & L \\ \nu' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} T & M & T & M \\ \tau' & \nu & \tau & \nu' \end{array} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} M & L \\ \nu & \lambda' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} T & L & T & L \\ \tau' & \lambda' & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[R_{MM}^H R_{TL}^H]} &:= \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[R_{TL}^H]} := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} T & L \\ \tau' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & T \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \tau' \end{array} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} T & L \\ \tau & \lambda' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & L & M & L \\ \mu & \lambda' & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Hence, applying Rüdénberg's approximation twice implies an oversimplification of the two-center integral  $\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & M & L \\ \mu & \mu' & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[R_{ML}^I]}$  in Eq. (5.7) and of  $\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & M & L \\ \mu & \nu & \mu' & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[R_{ML}^H]}$  in Eq. (5.8). Obviously, the formulations of Eqs. (5.6) and (5.9) should be preferred, since they use Rüdénberg's recipe only once. While the oversimplifying "unrestricted" branch of approximation has been discussed comprehensively elsewhere <sup>7</sup>, we now turn to the corresponding "restricted" route which avoids such shortcomings.

## 5.2. "Restricted and Combined Rüdénberg" approximations (R.R&C) for Fock-matrix elements

The term ' "Restricted and Combined Rüdénberg" approximations (R.R&C) ' indicates,

- that both one-electron and two-electron routes of approximation are combined in the sense outlined in Ref. 7, and
- that in this subsection we are going to distinguish four-center and three-center interactions from one another and those of two-center or one-center type. All different types of three-center integrals occurring in Eqs. (2.21) . . . (2.28) will be treated in such a way that oversimplifications are avoided by applying Rüdénberg's approximations only once. Furthermore, this time all one- and two-center interactions are considered to be evaluated accurately.

Distinguishing off-blockdiagonal from blockdiagonal matrix elements we define according to Eq. (2.19) :

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[R.R\&C]}}_{N \neq M} := K_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)} + F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^A + F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^C - F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha E[R.R\&C]}, \quad (5.10)$$

$$F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha[R.R\&C]} := K_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)} + F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^A + F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^C - F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha E[R.R\&C]}. \quad (5.11)$$

For the off-blockdiagonal attractive part we define according to Eq. (2.21) :

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^A[R.R\&C]}}_{N \neq M} := {}^{(0)}A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)} + {}^{(1)}A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[R^I]}. \quad (5.12)$$

For the off-blockdiagonal Coulomb part we define according to Eq. (2.23) :

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^C[R.R\&C]}}_{N \neq M} &:= {}^{(0)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)} + {}^{(1)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[R^I R^I]} + {}^{(2)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[R^I]} \\ &\quad + 2^{(3)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[R^{II}]} + 2^{(4)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[R^{II}]} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

For the blockdiagonal Coulomb part we define according to Eq. (2.25) :

$$F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{C[\text{R.R\&C}]} := {}^{(0)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)} + {}^{(1)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{[\text{R}^{\text{I}}]} + {}^{(2)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)} + 2{}^{(3)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}. \quad (5.14)$$

For the off-blockdiagonal exchange part we define according to Eq. (2.26) :

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha E[\text{R.R\&C}]}}_{N \neq M} := {}^{(0)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^\alpha + {}^{(1)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{R}^{\text{II}}]} + {}^{(2)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{R}^{\text{I}}]} \\ + {}^{(3)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{R}^{\text{II}}]} + {}^{(4)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{R}^{\text{I}}]} + {}^{(5)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{R}^{\text{I}}]} + {}^{(6)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{R}^{\text{II}}]}. \quad (5.15)$$

For the blockdiagonal exchange part we define according to Eq. (2.28) :

$$F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha E[\text{R.R\&C}]} := {}^{(0)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^\alpha + {}^{(1)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{R}^{\text{II}}]} + {}^{(2)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^\alpha \\ + {}^{(3)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^\alpha + {}^{(5)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^\alpha. \quad (5.16)$$

The different quantities occuring in the Eqs. (5.12) ... (5.16) are defined as follows <sup>14</sup> :

$$\underbrace{{}^{(1)}A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[\text{R}^{\text{I}}]}}_{N \neq M} := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu' & \nu \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{\sum_{P=1}^{N_n} \begin{pmatrix} M & P & M \\ \mu & & \mu' \end{pmatrix}}_{P \neq M, N} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu & \nu' \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{\sum_{P=1}^{N_n} \begin{pmatrix} N & P & N \\ \nu' & & \nu \end{pmatrix}}_{P \neq M, N} \right\}, \quad (5.17) \\ \stackrel{\text{def}(1.1)}{=} A_{(M,\mu,\mu')(N)} \\ \stackrel{\text{def}(1.2)}{=} A_{(M)(N,\nu',\nu)}$$

with

$$\underbrace{{}^{(1.1)}A_{(M,\mu,\mu')(N)}}_{N \neq M} = \underbrace{\sum_{P=1}^{N_n} \begin{pmatrix} M & P & M \\ \mu & & \mu' \end{pmatrix}}_{=F_{(M,\mu)(M,\mu')}^A} - \begin{pmatrix} M & M & M \\ \mu & & \mu' \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} M & N & M \\ \mu & & \mu' \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.18)$$

and

$$\underbrace{{}^{(1.2)}A_{(M)(N,\nu',\nu)}}_{N \neq M} = \underbrace{\sum_{P=1}^{N_n} \begin{pmatrix} N & P & N \\ \nu' & & \nu \end{pmatrix}}_{=F_{(N,\nu')(N,\nu)}^A} - \begin{pmatrix} N & M & N \\ \nu' & & \nu \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} N & N & N \\ \nu' & & \nu \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.19)$$

Introducing the abbreviation

$$Q_{(M)(N,\nu,\nu')}^\oplus \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\mu=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^\oplus \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu & \nu' \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.20)$$

we define :

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{(1)C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[\mathbf{R}^1\mathbf{R}^1]}_{N \neq M}} &:= \\ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu' & \nu \end{pmatrix} \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M,N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\substack{L=1 \\ L \neq M,N,T}}^{N_n} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^\oplus \begin{pmatrix} M & M & T & L \\ \mu & \mu' & \tau & \lambda \end{pmatrix}^{[\mathbf{R}_{TL}^1]}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(1.1)}{=} C_{(M,\mu,\mu')(N)}^{[\mathbf{R}^1]}} \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu & \nu' \end{pmatrix} \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M,N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\substack{L=1 \\ L \neq M,N,T}}^{N_n} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^\oplus \begin{pmatrix} N & N & T & L \\ \nu' & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{pmatrix}^{[\mathbf{R}_{TL}^1]} \right\}, \\ &\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(1.2)}{=} C_{(M)(N,\nu',\nu)}^{[\mathbf{R}^1]}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{(1.1)C_{(M,\mu,\mu')(N)}^{[\mathbf{R}^1]}_{N \neq M}} &:= \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M,N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\substack{L=1 \\ L \neq M,N,T}}^{N_n} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^\oplus \\ &\times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \begin{pmatrix} T & L \\ \tau' & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & T & T \\ \mu & \mu' & \tau & \tau' \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \begin{pmatrix} T & L \\ \tau & \lambda' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & L & L \\ \mu & \mu' & \lambda' & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau,\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & T & T \\ \mu & \mu' & \tau & \tau' \end{pmatrix} \left\{ ((\mathbf{P}^\oplus \mathbf{S}))_{(T,\tau)(T,\tau')} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - P_{(T,\tau)(T,\tau')}^\oplus - Q_{(N)(T,\tau,\tau')}^\oplus - Q_{(M)(T,\tau,\tau')}^\oplus \right\} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\tau,\tau'=1}^{n_o(N)} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & N & N \\ \mu & \mu' & \tau & \tau' \end{pmatrix} \left\{ ((\mathbf{P}^\oplus \mathbf{S}))_{(N,\tau)(N,\tau')} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2P_{(N,\tau)(N,\tau')}^\oplus - Q_{(M)(N,\tau,\tau')}^\oplus \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(1.2) C_{(M)(N,\nu',\nu)}^{[R^1]}}_{N \neq M} &:= \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \underbrace{\sum_{L=1}^{N_n}}_{L \neq M, N, T} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^\oplus \\
&\times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \begin{pmatrix} T & L \\ \tau' & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N & N & T & T \\ \nu' & \nu & \tau & \tau' \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \begin{pmatrix} T & L \\ \tau & \lambda' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N & N & L & L \\ \nu' & \nu & \lambda' & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau, \tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \begin{pmatrix} N & N & T & T \\ \nu' & \nu & \tau & \tau' \end{pmatrix} \left\{ ((\mathbf{P}^\oplus \mathbf{S}))_{(T,\tau)(T,\tau')} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - P_{(T,\tau)(T,\tau')}^\oplus - Q_{(N)(T,\tau,\tau')}^\oplus - Q_{(M)(T,\tau,\tau')}^\oplus \right\} \quad (5.23) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau, \tau'=1}^{n_o(M)} \begin{pmatrix} N & N & M & M \\ \nu' & \nu & \tau & \tau' \end{pmatrix} \left\{ ((\mathbf{P}^\oplus \mathbf{S}))_{(M,\tau)(M,\tau')} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2P_{(M,\tau)(M,\tau')}^\oplus - Q_{(M,\tau,\tau')(N)}^\oplus \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{[R^1]} &:= \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \underbrace{\sum_{L=1}^{N_n}}_{L \neq M, T} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^\oplus \\
&\times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \begin{pmatrix} T & L \\ \tau' & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & T & T \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \tau' \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \begin{pmatrix} T & L \\ \tau & \lambda' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & L & L \\ \mu & \nu & \lambda' & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & T & T \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \tau' \end{pmatrix} \left\{ ((\mathbf{P}^\oplus \mathbf{S}))_{(T,\tau)(T,\tau')} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - P_{(T,\tau)(T,\tau')}^\oplus - Q_{(M)(T,\tau,\tau')}^\oplus \right\}. \quad (5.24)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(2) C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[R^1]}}_{N \neq M} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu' & \nu \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^{n_o(T)} P_{(T,\tau)(T,\lambda)}^\oplus \begin{pmatrix} M & M & T & T \\ \mu & \mu' & \tau & \tau \end{pmatrix}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(2.1)}{=} C_{(M,\mu,\mu')(N)}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu & \nu' \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^{n_o(T)} P_{(T,\tau)(T,\lambda)}^\oplus \begin{pmatrix} N & N & T & T \\ \nu' & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{pmatrix}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(2.2)}{=} C_{(M)(N,\nu',\nu)}} \right\}, \quad (5.25)
\end{aligned}$$



with

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(2.1) C_{(M, \mu, \mu')(N)}}_{N \neq M} &= \underbrace{\sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^{n_o(T)}}_{T \neq M} P_{(T, \tau)(T, \lambda)}^{\oplus} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & T & T \\ \mu & \mu' & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(N, \tau)(N, \lambda)}^{\oplus} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & N & N \\ \mu & \mu' & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(2.2) C_{(M)(N, \nu', \nu)}}_{N \neq M} &= \underbrace{\sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^{n_o(T)}}_{T \neq N} P_{(T, \tau)(T, \lambda)}^{\oplus} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} N & N & T & T \\ \nu' & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M, \tau)(M, \lambda)}^{\oplus} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} N & N & M & M \\ \nu' & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(3) C_{(M, \mu)(N, \nu)}^{[\text{R}^{\text{II}}]}}_{N \neq M} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M, \lambda)(T, \tau)}^{\oplus} \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} N & T \\ \nu' & \tau \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & N & N & M \\ \mu & \nu & \nu' & \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{T \neq M, N} \right. \\
&\quad \stackrel{\text{def (3.1)}}{=} C_{(M, \mu)(N, \nu)} \\
&\quad + \left. \underbrace{\sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M, \lambda)(T, \tau)}^{\oplus} \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} N & T \\ \nu & \tau' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & T & M \\ \mu & \tau' & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{T \neq M, N} \right\}, \\
&\quad \stackrel{\text{def (3.2)}}{=} C_{(M, \mu)(N, \nu)}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(3.1) C_{(M, \mu)(N, \nu)}}_{N \neq M} &= \sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M, \lambda)(T, \tau)}^{\oplus} \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} N & T \\ \nu' & \tau \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & N & N & M \\ \mu & \nu & \nu' & \lambda \end{array} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M, \lambda)(N, \tau)}^{\oplus} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & N & N & M \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M, \lambda)(M, \tau)}^{\oplus} \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \left( \begin{array}{cc} N & M \\ \nu' & \tau \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & N & N & M \\ \mu & \nu & \nu' & \lambda \end{array} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(3.2)C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}}_{N \neq M} &= \underbrace{\sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)}}_{T \neq M} P_{(M,\lambda)(T,\tau)}^\oplus \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \begin{pmatrix} N & T \\ \nu & \tau' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & T \\ \mu & \tau' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T & M \\ \tau & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\lambda)(N,\tau)}^\oplus \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu & \nu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & M \\ \tau & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(4)C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[\mathbb{R}^{\text{II}}]}}_{N \neq M} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(T,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\oplus \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \begin{pmatrix} M & T \\ \mu' & \tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu & \nu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu' & \lambda \end{pmatrix}}_{T \neq M, N} \right. \\
&\quad \stackrel{\text{def (4.1)}}{=} C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)} \\
&\quad + \left. \underbrace{\sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(T,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\oplus \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \begin{pmatrix} M & T \\ \mu & \tau' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T & N \\ \tau' & \nu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T & N \\ \tau & \lambda \end{pmatrix}}_{T \neq M, N} \right\}, \\
&\quad \stackrel{\text{def (4.2)}}{=} C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(4.1)C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}}_{N \neq M} &= \sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(T,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\oplus \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \begin{pmatrix} M & T \\ \mu' & \tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu & \nu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu' & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(N,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\oplus \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu' & \tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu & \nu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu' & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(M,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\oplus \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu & \nu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \tau & \lambda \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(4.2)C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}}_{N \neq M} &= \underbrace{\sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)}}_{T \neq N} P_{(T,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\oplus \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \begin{pmatrix} M & T \\ \mu & \tau' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T & N \\ \tau' & \nu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T & N \\ \tau & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(M,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\oplus \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu & \nu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \tau & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

Introducing the abbreviation

$$Q_{(M)(N,\nu,\nu')}^\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\mu=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu & \nu' \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.34}$$

we define :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{(1) E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\mathbf{R}^{\text{II}}\mathbf{R}^{\text{II}}]}}_{N \neq M} := \\
& \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \binom{M \ N}{\mu' \ \nu}}_{T \neq M, N} \underbrace{\sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{L=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \binom{M \ T}{\mu \ \tau} \binom{M \ L}{\mu' \ \lambda}}_{L \neq M, N, T} \right\}^{\mathbf{R}_{TL}^{\text{II}}} \\
& \quad \stackrel{\text{def (1.1)}}{=} E_{(M,\mu,\mu')(N)}^{\alpha[\mathbf{R}^{\text{II}}]} \\
& + \underbrace{\sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \binom{M \ N}{\mu \ \nu'}}_{T \neq M, N} \underbrace{\sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{L=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \binom{N \ T}{\nu' \ \tau} \binom{N \ L}{\nu \ \lambda}}_{L \neq M, N, T} \right\}^{\mathbf{R}_{TL}^{\text{II}}}, \\
& \quad \stackrel{\text{def (1.2)}}{=} E_{(M)(N,\nu',\nu)}^{\alpha[\mathbf{R}^{\text{II}}]}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{(1.1) E_{(M,\mu,\mu')(N)}^{\alpha[\mathbf{R}^{\text{II}}]}}_{N \neq M} := \sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{L=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \\
& \quad \times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \binom{T \ L}{\tau' \ \lambda} \binom{M \ T}{\mu \ \tau} \binom{M \ T}{\mu' \ \tau'} + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \binom{T \ L}{\tau \ \lambda'} \binom{M \ L}{\mu \ \lambda'} \binom{M \ L}{\mu' \ \lambda} \right\} \\
& = \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau, \tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \binom{M \ T}{\mu \ \tau} \binom{M \ T}{\mu' \ \tau'} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ ((\mathbf{P}^{\alpha} \mathbf{S}))_{(T,\tau)(T,\tau')} + ((\mathbf{P}^{\alpha} \mathbf{S}))_{(T,\tau')(T,\tau)} \right] \right. \\
& \quad - P_{(T,\tau)(T,\tau')}^{\alpha} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[ Q_{(N)(T,\tau,\tau')}^{\alpha} + Q_{(N)(T,\tau',\tau)}^{\alpha} \right] \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left[ Q_{(M)(T,\tau,\tau')}^{\alpha} + Q_{(M)(T,\tau',\tau)}^{\alpha} \right] \right\} \\
& - \sum_{\tau, \tau'=1}^{n_o(N)} \binom{M \ N}{\mu \ \tau} \binom{M \ N}{\mu' \ \tau'} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ ((\mathbf{P}^{\alpha} \mathbf{S}))_{(N,\tau)(N,\tau')} + ((\mathbf{P}^{\alpha} \mathbf{S}))_{(N,\tau')(N,\tau)} \right] \right. \\
& \quad - 2P_{(N,\tau)(N,\tau')}^{\alpha} \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left[ Q_{(M)(N,\tau,\tau')}^{\alpha} + Q_{(M)(N,\tau',\tau)}^{\alpha} \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(1.2) E_{(M)(N,\nu',\nu)}^{\alpha[\mathbf{R}^{\text{II}}]}}_{N \neq M} &:= \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\substack{L=1 \\ L \neq M, N, T}}^{N_n} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \\
&\times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \binom{T \ L}{\tau' \ \lambda} \binom{N \ T}{\nu' \ \tau} \middle| \binom{N \ T}{\nu \ \tau'} + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \binom{T \ L}{\tau \ \lambda'} \binom{N \ L}{\nu' \ \lambda'} \middle| \binom{N \ L}{\nu \ \lambda} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau, \tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \binom{N \ T}{\nu' \ \tau} \middle| \binom{N \ T}{\nu \ \tau'} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ ((\mathbf{P}^{\alpha} \mathbf{S}))_{(T,\tau)(T,\tau')} + ((\mathbf{P}^{\alpha} \mathbf{S}))_{(T,\tau')(T,\tau)} \right] \right. \\
&\quad - P_{(T,\tau)(T,\tau')}^{\alpha} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[ Q_{(N)(T,\tau,\tau')}^{\alpha} + Q_{(N)(T,\tau',\tau)}^{\alpha} \right] \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left[ Q_{(M)(T,\tau,\tau')}^{\alpha} + Q_{(M)(T,\tau',\tau)}^{\alpha} \right] \right\} \tag{5.37} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau, \tau'=1}^{n_o(M)} \binom{N \ M}{\nu' \ \tau} \middle| \binom{N \ M}{\nu \ \tau'} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ ((\mathbf{P}^{\alpha} \mathbf{S}))_{(M,\tau)(M,\tau')} + ((\mathbf{P}^{\alpha} \mathbf{S}))_{(M,\tau')(M,\tau)} \right] \right. \\
&\quad - 2P_{(M,\tau)(M,\tau')}^{\alpha} \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left[ Q_{(M,\tau,\tau')(N)}^{\alpha} + Q_{(M,\tau',\tau)(N)}^{\alpha} \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha[\mathbf{R}^{\text{II}}]} &:= \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\substack{L=1 \\ L \neq M, T}}^{N_n} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(T,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \\
&\times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \binom{T \ L}{\tau' \ \lambda} \binom{M \ T}{\mu \ \tau} \middle| \binom{M \ T}{\nu \ \tau'} + \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \binom{T \ L}{\tau \ \lambda'} \binom{M \ L}{\mu \ \lambda'} \middle| \binom{M \ L}{\nu \ \lambda} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \binom{M \ T}{\mu \ \tau} \middle| \binom{M \ T}{\nu \ \tau'} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ ((\mathbf{P}^{\alpha} \mathbf{S}))_{(T,\tau)(T,\tau')} + ((\mathbf{P}^{\alpha} \mathbf{S}))_{(T,\tau')(T,\tau)} \right] \right. \\
&\quad - P_{(T,\tau)(T,\tau')}^{\alpha} \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left[ Q_{(M)(T,\tau,\tau')}^{\alpha} + Q_{(M)(T,\tau',\tau)}^{\alpha} \right] \right\}. \tag{5.38}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{{}^{(2)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{R}^{\text{II}}]}}_{N \neq M} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \binom{M \ N}{\mu' \ \nu} \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^{n_o(T)} P_{(T,\tau)(T,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & T \\ \mu & \tau \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} M \\ \mu' \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T \\ \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(2.1)}{=} E_{(M,\mu,\mu')(N)}^{\alpha}} \right. \\
&+ \left. \underbrace{\sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \binom{M \ N}{\mu \ \nu'} \sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^{n_o(T)} P_{(T,\tau)(T,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} N & T \\ \nu' & \tau \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} N \\ \nu \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T \\ \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(2.2)}{=} E_{(M)(N,\nu',\nu)}^{\alpha}} \right\}, \tag{5.39}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{{}^{(2.1)}E_{(M,\mu,\mu')(N)}^{\alpha}}_{N \neq M} &= \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^{n_o(T)} P_{(T,\tau)(T,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & T \\ \mu & \tau \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} M \\ \mu' \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T \\ \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{T \neq M} \\
&- \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(N,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & N \\ \mu & \tau \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} M \\ \mu' \end{array} \begin{array}{c} N \\ \lambda \end{array} \right), \tag{5.40}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{{}^{(2.2)}E_{(M)(N,\nu',\nu)}^{\alpha}}_{N \neq M} &= \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^{n_o(T)} P_{(T,\tau)(T,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} N & T \\ \nu' & \tau \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} N \\ \nu \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T \\ \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{T \neq N} \\
&- \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\tau)(M,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} N & M \\ \nu' & \tau \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} N \\ \nu \end{array} \begin{array}{c} M \\ \lambda \end{array} \right). \tag{5.41}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{{}^{(3)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{R}^{\text{II}}]}}_{N \neq M} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\lambda)(T,\tau)}^{\alpha} \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \binom{N \ T}{\nu' \ \tau} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & N \\ \mu \ \nu' \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} N \\ \nu \end{array} \begin{array}{c} M \\ \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(3.1)}{=} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha}} \right. \\
&+ \left. \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{T=1 \\ T \neq M, N}}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\lambda)(T,\tau)}^{\alpha} \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \binom{N \ T}{\nu \ \tau'} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} M & T \\ \mu \ \tau \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} T \\ \tau' \end{array} \begin{array}{c} M \\ \lambda \end{array} \right)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}(3.2)}{=} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha}} \right\}, \tag{5.42}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(3.1) E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^\alpha}_{N \neq M} &= \sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\lambda)(T,\tau)}^\alpha \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \begin{pmatrix} N & T \\ \nu' & \tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & N & | & N & M \\ \mu & \nu' & | & \nu & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\lambda)(N,\tau)}^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} M & N & | & N & M \\ \mu & \tau & | & \nu & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\lambda)(M,\tau)}^\alpha \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \begin{pmatrix} N & M \\ \nu' & \tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & N & | & N & M \\ \mu & \nu' & | & \nu & \lambda \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.43}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(3.2) E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^\alpha}_{N \neq M} &= \underbrace{\sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\lambda)(T,\tau)}^\alpha}_{T \neq M} \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \begin{pmatrix} N & T \\ \nu & \tau' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & T & | & T & M \\ \mu & \tau & | & \tau' & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\lambda)(N,\tau)}^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} M & N & | & N & M \\ \mu & \tau & | & \nu & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.44}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(4) E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\mathbb{R}^1]} }_{N \neq M} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(T,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\alpha \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \begin{pmatrix} M & T \\ \mu' & \tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & | & N & N \\ \mu & \mu' & | & \nu & \lambda \end{pmatrix}}_{T \neq M, N} \right. \\
&\quad \stackrel{\text{def}(4.1)}{=} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^\alpha \\
&\quad + \left. \underbrace{\sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(T,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\alpha \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \begin{pmatrix} M & T \\ \mu & \tau' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T & T & | & N & N \\ \tau' & \tau & | & \nu & \lambda \end{pmatrix}}_{T \neq M, N} \right\}, \\
&\quad \stackrel{\text{def}(4.2)}{=} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^\alpha
\end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(4.1) E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^\alpha}_{N \neq M} &= \sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(T,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\alpha \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \begin{pmatrix} M & T \\ \mu' & \tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & | & N & N \\ \mu & \mu' & | & \nu & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(N,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\alpha \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ \mu' & \tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & | & N & N \\ \mu & \mu' & | & \nu & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(M,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} M & M & | & N & N \\ \mu & \tau & | & \nu & \lambda \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.46}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(4.2) E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^\alpha}_{N \neq M} &= \underbrace{\sum_{T=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(T)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(T,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\alpha}_{T \neq N} \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_o(T)} \begin{pmatrix} M & T \\ \mu & \tau' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T & T & | & N & N \\ \tau' & \tau & | & \nu & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(M,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} M & M & | & N & N \\ \mu & \tau & | & \nu & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.47}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(5) E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\mathbb{R}^1]} }_{N \neq M} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{L=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(M,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^\alpha \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \begin{pmatrix} N & L \\ \nu' & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & | & N & N \\ \mu & \tau & | & \nu & \nu' \end{pmatrix}}_{L \neq M, N} \right. \\
&\quad \stackrel{\text{def (5.1)}}{=} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^\alpha \\
&\quad + \left. \underbrace{\sum_{L=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(M,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^\alpha \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \begin{pmatrix} N & L \\ \nu & \lambda' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & | & L & L \\ \mu & \tau & | & \lambda' & \lambda \end{pmatrix}}_{L \neq M, N} \right\}, \\
&\quad \stackrel{\text{def (5.2)}}{=} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^\alpha
\end{aligned} \tag{5.48}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(5.1) E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^\alpha}_{N \neq M} &= \sum_{L=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(M,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^\alpha \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \begin{pmatrix} N & L \\ \nu' & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & | & N & N \\ \mu & \tau & | & \nu & \nu' \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(M,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} M & M & | & N & N \\ \mu & \tau & | & \nu & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(M,\tau)(M,\lambda)}^\alpha \sum_{\nu'=1}^{n_o(N)} \begin{pmatrix} N & M \\ \nu' & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & | & N & N \\ \mu & \tau & | & \nu & \nu' \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.49}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{(5.2) E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^\alpha}_{N \neq M} &= \underbrace{\sum_{L=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(M,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^\alpha \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \begin{pmatrix} N & L \\ \nu & \lambda' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & M & | & L & L \\ \mu & \tau & | & \lambda' & \lambda \end{pmatrix}}_{L \neq M} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(M)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(M,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} M & M & | & N & N \\ \mu & \tau & | & \nu & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.50}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{{}^{(6)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\mathbb{R}^{\text{II}}]}}_{N \neq M} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{L=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(N,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \binom{M \ L}{\mu' \ \lambda} \binom{M \ N}{\mu \ \tau} \Big| \begin{matrix} N \ M \\ \nu \ \mu' \end{matrix}}_{L \neq M, N} \right. \\
&\stackrel{\text{def (6.1)}}{=} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha} \\
&+ \left. \underbrace{\sum_{L=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(N,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \binom{M \ L}{\mu \ \lambda'} \binom{L \ N}{\lambda' \ \tau} \Big| \begin{matrix} N \ L \\ \nu \ \lambda \end{matrix}}_{L \neq M, N} \right\}, \\
&\stackrel{\text{def (6.2)}}{=} E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.51}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{{}^{(6.1)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha}}_{N \neq M} &= \sum_{L=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(N,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \binom{M \ L}{\mu' \ \lambda} \binom{M \ N}{\mu \ \tau} \Big| \begin{matrix} N \ M \\ \nu \ \mu' \end{matrix} \\
&- \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(N)} P_{(N,\tau)(N,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \sum_{\mu'=1}^{n_o(M)} \binom{M \ N}{\mu' \ \lambda} \binom{M \ N}{\mu \ \tau} \Big| \begin{matrix} N \ M \\ \nu \ \mu' \end{matrix} \\
&- \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(N,\tau)(M,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \binom{M \ N}{\mu \ \tau} \Big| \begin{matrix} N \ M \\ \nu \ \lambda \end{matrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.52}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{{}^{(6.2)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha}}_{N \neq M} &= \underbrace{\sum_{L=1}^{N_n} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(L)} P_{(N,\tau)(L,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \sum_{\lambda'=1}^{n_o(L)} \binom{M \ L}{\mu \ \lambda'} \binom{L \ N}{\lambda' \ \tau} \Big| \begin{matrix} N \ L \\ \nu \ \lambda \end{matrix}}_{L \neq N} \\
&- \sum_{\tau=1}^{n_o(N)} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_o(M)} P_{(N,\tau)(M,\lambda)}^{\alpha} \binom{M \ N}{\mu \ \tau} \Big| \begin{matrix} N \ M \\ \nu \ \lambda \end{matrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.53}$$



## 6. Approximations of NDIO type

### 6.1. “Unrestricted” and “Restricted” integral approximations

If one assumes the atomic orbital basis as being not only locally but globally orthonormal, all diatomic overlap integrals occurring in the context of R udenberg-type approximations have to be supplemented by the corresponding diatomic Kronecker symbol (“Neglect of Diatomic Integral Overlap”, NDIO). What follows is partially identical with the “Neglect of Diatomic Differential Overlap” description (NDDO) originally introduced by Pople, Santry, & Segal <sup>4</sup> for an approximate treatment of two-center one-electron densities :

$$(I) \quad \left\{ \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_N) \right\}^{[\text{NDIO}_{MN}^I]} := \\ \left\{ \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_N) \right\}^{[\text{NDDO}_{MN}]} := \delta_{MN} \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M). \quad (6.1)$$

This well-known NDDO picture, however, now is extended by an analogous two-center two-electron NDIO scheme which also refers to R udenberg’s fundamental distinction :

$$(II) \quad \left\{ \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{R}_N) \right\}^{[\text{NDIO}_{MN}^{II}]} := \delta_{MN} \Phi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_M) \Phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{R}_M). \quad (6.2)$$

Again, in a NDIO-type treatment of four-center repulsion integrals each of both routes have to be passed through twice :

$$(I) \quad \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & N & T & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{MN}^I \text{NDIO}_{TL}^I]} := \delta_{MN} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & T & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{TL}^I]} \\ := \delta_{MN} \delta_{TL} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & T & T \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right), \quad (6.3)$$

$$(II) \quad \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & N & T & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{MT}^{II} \text{NDIO}_{NL}^{II}]} := \delta_{MT} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & N & M & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{NL}^{II}]} \\ := \delta_{MT} \delta_{NL} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & N & M & N \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right). \quad (6.4)$$

Having interchanged the indices  $N$  and  $\nu$  with  $T$  and  $\tau$ , respectively, Eq. (6.4) equivalently reads :

$$(II) \quad \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & N & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{MN}^{II} \text{NDIO}_{TL}^{II}]} := \delta_{MN} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{TL}^{II}]} \\ := \delta_{MN} \delta_{TL} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & T \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right). \quad (6.5)$$

In addition to these formulas let us again consider the three-center repulsion integrals  $\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & T & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)$  and  $\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)$ .

(I) Using two one-electron approximations of NDIO type we get :

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & T & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{MM}^I \text{NDIO}_{TL}^I]} := \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & T & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{TL}^I]} := \delta_{TL} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & T & T \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right), \quad (6.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{MT}^I \text{NDIO}_{NL}^I]} &:= \delta_{MT} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & M & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{ML}^I]} \\
&:= \delta_{MT} \delta_{ML} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & M & M \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

(II) Using two two-electron approximations of NDIO type we get :

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & T & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{MT}^{\text{II}} \text{NDIO}_{ML}^{\text{II}}]} &:= \delta_{MT} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & M & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{ML}^{\text{II}}]} \\
&:= \delta_{MT} \delta_{ML} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & M & M \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{MM}^{\text{II}} \text{NDIO}_{TL}^{\text{II}}]} := \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{TL}^{\text{II}}]} := \delta_{TL} \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & T & M & T \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right). \tag{6.9}$$

Hence, like in the discussion above, applying the NDIO scheme twice implies also an oversimplification of the two-center integral  $\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & M & L \\ \mu & \tau & \nu & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{ML}^I]}$  in Eq. (6.7) and of  $\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} M & M & M & L \\ \mu & \nu & \tau & \lambda \end{array} \right)^{[\text{NDIO}_{ML}^{\text{II}}]}$  in Eq. (6.8). Obviously, the formulations of the Eqs. (6.6) and (6.9) should be preferred, since they use the simplifying NDIO recipe only once. While the oversimplifying “unrestricted” branch of approximation has been discussed comprehensively elsewhere <sup>7</sup>, we now turn to the corresponding “restricted” route which avoids such shortcomings.

## 6.2. “Restricted and Combined NDIO” approximations (NDIO.R&C) for Fock-matrix elements

The term ‘ “Restricted and Combined NDIO” approximations (NDIO.R&C) ’ indicates,

- that both one-electron and two-electron routes of approximation are combined in the sense outlined in Ref. 7, and
- that in this subsection we are going to distinguish four-center and three-center interactions from one another and those of two-center or one-center type. All different types of three-center integrals occurring in Eqs. (2.21) ... (2.28) will be treated in such a way that oversimplifications are avoided by applying the NDIO recipe only once. Furthermore, this time all one- and two-center interactions are considered to be evaluated accurately.

Distinguishing atomic off-blockdiagonal from blockdiagonal matrix elements, we define according to Eq. (2.19) :

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]}}_{N \neq M} := K_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)} + F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^A[\text{NDIO.R\&C}] + F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^C[\text{NDIO.R\&C}] - F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha E[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]}, \tag{6.10}$$

$$F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]} := K_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)} + F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^A + F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^C[\text{NDIO.R\&C}] - F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha E[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]}. \tag{6.11}$$

For the off-blockdiagonal attractive part we define according to Eq. (5.12) :

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{A[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]}}_{N \neq M} := {}^{(0)}A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)} + {}^{(1)}A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[\text{NDIO}^{\text{I}}]}. \quad (6.12)$$

For the off-blockdiagonal Coulomb part we define according to Eq. (5.13) :

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{C[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]}}_{N \neq M} &:= {}^{(0)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)} + {}^{(1)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[\text{NDIO}^{\text{I}}\text{NDIO}^{\text{I}}]} + {}^{(2)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[\text{NDIO}^{\text{I}}]} \\ &+ 2{}^{(3)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}]} + 2{}^{(4)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}]}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

For the blockdiagonal Coulomb part we define according to Eq. (5.14) :

$$F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{C[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]} := {}^{(0)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)} + {}^{(1)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{[\text{NDIO}^{\text{I}}]} + {}^{(2)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)} + 2{}^{(3)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}. \quad (6.14)$$

For the off-blockdiagonal exchange part we define according to Eq. (5.15) :

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha E[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]}}_{N \neq M} &:= {}^{(0)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha} + {}^{(1)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}]} + {}^{(2)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}]} \\ &+ {}^{(3)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}]} + {}^{(4)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO}^{\text{I}}]} \\ &+ {}^{(5)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO}^{\text{I}}]} + {}^{(6)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}]}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

For the blockdiagonal exchange part we define according to Eq. (5.16) :

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha E[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]} &:= {}^{(0)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha} + {}^{(1)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}]} + {}^{(2)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha} \\ &+ {}^{(3)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha} + {}^{(5)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

With the additional assumption of a globally orthonormal atomic orbital basis the different quantities occurring in Eqs. (6.12) ... (6.16) are defined as follows. From Eq. (5.17) we get :

$$\underbrace{{}^{(1)}A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[\text{NDIO}^{\text{I}}]}}_{N \neq M} := 0. \quad (6.17)$$

From Eqs. (5.21), (5.24), (5.25), (5.28) and (5.31) we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{{}^{(1)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[\text{NDIO}^{\text{I}}\text{NDIO}^{\text{I}}]}}_{N \neq M} &= {}^{(1)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{[\text{NDIO}^{\text{I}}]} = \underbrace{{}^{(2)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[\text{NDIO}^{\text{I}}]}}_{N \neq M} \\ &= \underbrace{{}^{(3)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}]}}_{N \neq M} = \underbrace{{}^{(4)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{[\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}]}}_{N \neq M} := 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

From Eqs. (5.35), (5.38), (5.39), (5.42), (5.45), (5.48), and (5.51) we get :

$$\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{{}^{(1)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}]}}_{N \neq M} &= {}^{(1)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}]} = \underbrace{{}^{(2)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}]}}_{N \neq M} \\
&= \underbrace{{}^{(3)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}]}}_{N \neq M} = \underbrace{{}^{(4)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO}^{\text{I}}]}}_{N \neq M} \\
&= \underbrace{{}^{(5)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO}^{\text{I}}]}}_{N \neq M} = \underbrace{{}^{(6)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha[\text{NDIO}^{\text{II}}]}}_{N \neq M} := 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

The off-blockdiagonal matrix elements of Eqs. (6.12), (6.13), and (6.15) can be rewritten :

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{A[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]} }_{N \neq M} := {}^{(0)}A_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}, \tag{6.20}$$

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{C[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]} }_{N \neq M} := {}^{(0)}C_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}, \tag{6.21}$$

$$\underbrace{F_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha E[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]} }_{N \neq M} := {}^{(0)}E_{(M,\mu)(N,\nu)}^{\alpha}. \tag{6.22}$$

And the blockdiagonal matrix elements of Eqs. (2.22), (6.14), and (6.16) finally read :

$$F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{A[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]} := {}^{(0)}A_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)} + {}^{(1)}A_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}, \tag{6.23}$$

$$F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{C[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]} := {}^{(0)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)} + {}^{(2)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)} + 2{}^{(3)}C_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}, \tag{6.24}$$

$$F_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha E[\text{NDIO.R\&C}]} := {}^{(0)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha} + {}^{(2)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha} + {}^{(3)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha} + {}^{(5)}E_{(M,\mu)(M,\nu)}^{\alpha}. \tag{6.25}$$

## 7. Concluding remarks

Within a picture gained by a “Restricted and Combined” application (R&C) the four approximations

- of Mulliken type (M),
- of “Zero Integral Overlap” type (ZIO),
- of Rüdberg type (R), and
- of “Neglect of Diatomic Integral Overlap” type (NDIO)

considered here are interconnected in the following way :

Rotational invariance	Globally orthogonal atomic-orbital basis	Locally orthogonal atomic-orbital basis
violated	ZIO.R&C	M.R&C
fulfilled	NDIO.R&C	R.R&C

ZIO.R&C and NDIO.R&C or M.R&C might prove to be useful as extensions of numerous computational concepts in semi-empirical quantum chemistry <sup>15</sup>. For a non-empirical orbital theory, however, only those concepts can be important, which neither assume a globally orthogonal basis set nor violate the rotational invariance condition. Hence, for practical purposes, we are particularly interested in the R.R&C branch which requires a computational procedure for the accurate evaluation of all two-center integrals.

Due to their dependence on a single geometric parameter, all types of two-center integrals can be calculated in advance for about one hundred fixed interatomic distances at the desired level of sophistication and stored once and for all <sup>16</sup>. A cubic spline algorithm <sup>17</sup> may be taken to interpolate the actual integral value from each pre-computed list. Such techniques, particularly appropriate for minimal basis sets, have been incorporated in an approximate non-empirical procedure based on Rüdberg’s ideas. Their numerical application will be the subject of forthcoming investigations.

We have followed a tradition of various early efforts to close the gap between semi-empirical and ab-initio quantum chemical approaches useful for large molecules and crystalline systems. Approximate crystal orbital theories of Hartree-Fock type will be discussed in two other papers <sup>18</sup>.

### Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to my colleague Dr. Dietrich Hoffmann together with best wishes for his future. The stimulating and clarifying discussions with him along with his patience were basic preconditions for the completion of this work. It was he, who focussed my attention on the shortcomings of Mulliken’s approximation when applied to certain kinds of three-index repulsion integrals.

Many thanks also to Hanno Klomp and to Juliette Ruddy for their critical reading of the manuscript.

## References and notes

- <sup>1</sup> K. Rüdénberg, *J. Chem. Phys.* **19**, 1433 (1951).
- <sup>2</sup> R. S. Mulliken, *J. Chim. Phys.* **46**, 500 and 521 (1949).
- <sup>3</sup> R. G. Parr, *J. Chem. Phys.* **20**, 1499 (1952).
- <sup>4</sup> J. A. Pople, D. P. Santry, and G. A. Segal, *J. Chem. Phys.* **43**, S 129 (1965).
- <sup>5</sup> C. C. J. Roothaan, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **23**, 69 (1951).
- <sup>6</sup> J. A. Pople and R. K. Nesbet, *J. Chem. Phys.* **22**, 571 (1954).
- <sup>7</sup> W. Koch, *On Rüdénberg's Integral Approximations and Their Unrestricted and Combined Use in Molecular Orbital Theories of Hartree-Fock Type*, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* (1999), in press.
- <sup>8</sup> G. Blyholder and C. A. Coulson, *Theoret. chim. Acta (Berl.)* **10**, 316 (1968).  
- B. J. Nicholson, *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **18**, 249 (1970).
- <sup>9</sup> M. Wolfsberg and L. Helmholz, *J. Chem. Phys.* **20**, 837 (1952).
- <sup>10</sup> R. Hoffmann, *J. Chem. Phys.* **39**, 1368 (1963).
- <sup>11</sup> P. J. A. Ruttink, *Theoret. chim. Acta (Berl.)* **6**, 83 (1966).
- <sup>12</sup> Improvements of Rüdénberg's truncated one-electron expansion have already been described in a paper entitled "Limited Expansion of Diatomic Overlap (LEDO) : A Near-Accurate Approximate *Ab Initio* LCAO MO Method" of  
- F. P. Billingsley II and J. E. Bloor, *J. Chem. Phys.* **55**, 5178 (1971), and its predecessor  
- F. P. Billingsley II and J. E. Bloor, *Chem. Phys. Letters* **4**, 48 (1969).  
The LEDO technique has many points of similarity with the "Projection of Diatomic Differential Overlap" method (PDDO) of  
- M. D. Newton, N. S. Ostlund, and J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.* **49**, 5192 (1968),  
- M. D. Newton, *J. Chem. Phys.* **51**, 3917 (1969),  
and  
- M. D. Newton, W. A. Lathan, W. J. Hehre, and J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.* **51**, 3927 (1969).  
Another starting point for the LEDO procedure were the integral approximations of  
- F. E. Harris and R. Rein, *Theoret. Chim. Acta (Berl.)* **6**, 73 (1966).  
Particularly appropriate for the concepts discussed in this contribution is  
- W. Koch, *Integral-Specific and Weighted Rüdénberg-Type Expansions*, prepared for publication in *Chem. Phys. Letters*.
- <sup>13</sup> A. Szabo and N. S. Ostlund, *Modern Quantum Chemistry* (Macmillan, New York, 1982).
- <sup>14</sup> Details of the formal deduction are available at the author's adress, or via e-mail : wolfhard.koch@uni-tuebingen.de.
- <sup>15</sup> M. C. Zerner, *Semiempirical Molecular Orbital Methods*, in : K. B. Lipkowitz and D. B. Boyd (Eds.), *Reviews in Computational Chemistry*, Vol. 2, 313 (VCH Publishers, New York, 1991), and references therein.  
- W. Thiel, *Perspectives on Semiempirical Molecular Orbital Theory*, in : I. Prigogine and S. A. Rice (Eds.), *Advances in Chemical Physics*, Vol. 93, 703 (John Wiley & Sons, London, New York, Sydney, 1996), and references therein.
- <sup>16</sup> The interest in using Slater-type orbitals (STO) also in non-empirical calculations has been reanimated through "STOP : A Slater-Type Orbital Package for Molecular Electronic Structure Determination" by

- A. Bouferguene, M. Fares, and P. E. Hoggan, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **57**, 801 (1996).
- <sup>17</sup> W. H. Press, B. P. Flannery, S. A. Teukolsky, and W. T. Vetterling, *Numerical Recipes* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986).
- <sup>18</sup> W. Koch, *On Rüdénberg's Integral Approximations and Their Unrestricted and Combined Use in Crystal Orbital Theories of Hartree-Fock Type*, submitted to *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* for publication.
- W. Koch, *On the Restricted and Combined Use of Rüdénberg's Approximations in Crystal Orbital Theories of Hartree-Fock Type*, submitted to *Phys. Rev. B* for publication.